arXiv:0904.2384v3 [math.FA] 4 Jun 2009

ENVELOPES OF HOLOMORPHY AND EXTENSION OF FUNCTIONS OF BOUNDED TYPE.

DANIEL CARANDO AND SANTIAGO MURO

Abstract. In this article we characterize the envelope of holomorphy for the algebra of bounded type holomorphic functions on Riemann domains over a Banach space in terms of the spectrum of the algebra. We prove that evaluations at points of the envelope are always continuous but we show an example of a balanced open subset of c_0 where the extensions to the envelope are not necessarily of bounded type, answering a question posed by Hirschowitz in 1972. We show that for bounded balanced sets the extensions are of bounded type. We also consider extensions to the bidual, and show some properties of the spectrum in the case of the unit ball of ℓ_p.

Introduction

In this note, we consider the problem of extending holomorphic functions of bounded type defined on an open subset U of a Banach space, to larger domains. We are particularly interested in determining the largest open set to which all those functions extend uniquely. As it could be expected, to properly pose and study the problem, we must expand our investigations to the Riemann domains framework. Our problem translates, then, to the characterization of the envelope of holomorhpy of a Riemann domain modeled on a Banach space, with respect to the algebra of analytic funcions of bounded type. Loosely speaking, if X is a Riemann domain over the Banach space E, the H_b-envelope of holomorphy of X is the largest Riemann domain (over E) "containing X" to which every holomorphic function of bounded type on X has a unique extension.

When we turn back to our original motivation and start with an open set $U \subset E$, we want to find out conditions on U that ensures its envelope to be also an open subset of E (in this case, the envelope is said to be schlicht or univalent). In this context, we will show in Corollary 2.3 that, if U is a balanced open subset of a symmetrically regular Banach space, then its envelope of holomorphy is univalent and has a simple description.

We are also interested in alternative definitions of the envelope of holomorphy, that takes into account that we are dealing with functions of bounded type: largest Riemann domains to which every function of bounded type uniquely extend and such that the extensions are also of bounded type, or, at least, such that the extensions have some additional properties

---

Date: June 5, 2009.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46G20, 32D10, 46E50. Secondary 58B12, 32D26.

Key words and phrases. Holomorphic functions, envelope of holomorphy, Riemann domains.

This work was partially supported by CONICET, by ANPCyT PICT 05 17-33042, ANPCyT PICT 06 00587 and UBACyT Grant X038. The second author was also supported by a doctoral fellowship from CONICET.

other than holomorphy. We show that extensions to the envelope are not necessarily of bounded type, answering a question of Hirschowitz [12, Remarque 1.8]. We also consider extensions from $U \subset E$ to open subsets of the bidual of E, and present some interesting properties of the spectrum of the algebra of functions of bounded type.

In the theory of several complex variables, where every holomorphic function is of bounded type, the envelope of holomorphy can be described in terms of the spectrum of the algebra $H(X)$ of all analytic functions on X. In the infinite dimensional setting, most of the study was done for the space of all holomorphic functions, on open subsets of Banach and more general locally convex spaces (see, for example, [13, 14, 15, 17]). The study of the spectrum of the algebra of holomorphic functions of bounded type on a Banach space was initiated in 1991 by Aron, Cole and Gamelin in their seminal article [1]. Aron, Galindo, García and Maestre showed in [3] that if U is an open subset of a symmetrically regular Banach space then the spectrum of the algebra of bounded type analytic functions on U admits an analytic structure as a Riemann domain over the bidual. In [10], Dineen and Venkova extended the work in [3] to the Riemann domain framework and studied some properties of the extension of bounded type functions to the spectrum. They were particularly interested in the question if the spectrum is a H_b-domain of holomorphy. Our approach differs from theirs but we will make use of this analytic structure throughout the article. Before going on with the description of this work, let us give some definitions and fix some notation.

Throughout this paper E and F will be complex Banach spaces. We denote by $\mathcal{P}^n(E)$ the Banach space of all continuous n-homogeneous polynomials from E to $\mathbb{C}$, and by $\mathcal{P}(E)$ the class of all polynomials. If U is an open subset of E, a holomorphic function $f\colon U \to \mathbb{C}$ is of bounded type if it is bounded on U-bounded sets (i.e., bounded subsets that are bounded away from the boundary of U). We denote by $H_b(U)$ the space of all analytic function of bounded type. If (X, p) is a Riemann domain over E (i.e. X is a Hausdorff topological space and $p : X \to E$ a local homeomorphism) then $A \subset X$ is X-bounded if $p(A)$ is bounded and $dist_X(A) = \inf\{dist_X(x) : x \in A\}$ is positive (here $dist_X(x)$ is the supremum of $\{r > 0 :$ there exists a neighborhood V of x with $p_{|_V}$ an homeomorphism onto $B(x, r)\}$). The definition of $H_b(X)$ is then analogous. The space $H_b(X)$ is a Fréchet algebra when it is considered with the topology of uniform convergence on X-bounded sets. It is known that for balanced open sets U, polynomials are dense in $H_b(U)$ (see for example [14, Theorem 7.11]).

We will denote by $M_b(X)$ the spectrum of the algebra $H_b(X)$, that is, the set of all non-zero continuous, linear and multiplicative functionals on $H_b(X)$. Thus, for each $\varphi \in M_b(X)$ there exists an X-bounded set B such that $\phi(f) \leq \sup_{x\in B} |f(x)|$, for all $f \in H_b(X)$. In this case, we will write $\varphi \prec B$. By a *fundamental sequence of X-bounded sets* we will mean a sequence $\{A_n\}_n$ of X-bounded subsets such that if B is another X-bounded subset, then there exists n_0 such that $B \subset A_{n_0}$. We denote $X_n := \{x \in X : dist_X(x) \geq \frac{1}{n}$ and $\|p(x)\| \leq n\}$. Note that $\{X_n\}_n$ is a fundamental sequence of X-bounded sets.

For the case $X = U \subset E$, it is possible to define an application $\pi : M_b(U) \to E''$ by $\pi(\varphi) = \varphi|_{E'}$. If E is symmetrically regular, this mapping π provides the local homeomorphism that makes $M_b(U)$ a Riemann domain over E'' [3]. For a general Riemann domain (X, p), the mapping $\pi : M_b(X) \to E''$ is defined by $\pi(\varphi)(\gamma) = \varphi(\gamma \circ p)$, and the analytic structure is analogous [10]. Functions in $H_b(X)$ naturally extend to $M_b(X)$ by the Gelfand transform, and it is shown in [3] and [10] that this extension is analytic. In the case of entire functions of bounded type (i.e., the case $U = X = E$) these extensions were shown to be of bounded type on each connected component of the spectrum [9, Section 6.3], but we will see that the extensions are not necessarily of bounded type on the whole spectrum. We refer the reader to the already mentioned articles [3] and [10] for a description of the analytic structure of $M_b(U)$ and $M_b(X)$ (see also [5]), and to the books by Dineen [9] and Mujica [14] for a more extensive treatment of infinite dimensional holomorphy.

In the first section we study the H_b-envelope of holomorphy of a Riemann domain. We also consider two alternative definitions of the envelope: the first one requires that extensions be also of bounded type (we call it the H_b-H_b-envelope). The second one requires that, at least, evaluations on points of the envelope be continuous functionals on $H_b(X)$ (we call this one the strong H_b-envelope). Although the spectrum is known to have an analytic structure only in the symmetrically regular case, we are able to give a characterization of the strong H_b-envelope of holomorphy of X as a subset of the spectrum $M_b(X)$, much in the spirit of the several complex variables theory, for domains over arbitrary Banach spaces. We show that the H_b-envelope and the strong H_b-envelope coincide, and that the H_b-H_b-envelope may fail to exist (this fact is actually shown in section 2). Whenever it exists, the H_b-H_b-envelope must also coincide with the classical H_b-envelope. In the second section we study extensions of holomorphic functions of bounded type on an open subset of E. We give a precise description of the H_b-envelope of a balanced open set U, which turns out to be a (possibly larger) open subset of E. We prove some good properties of the extensions of functions of $H_b(U)$ to the envelope. However we see that these extensions may fail to be of bounded type, thus answering a question posed by Hirschowitz in 1972 [12]. This also shows that the canonical extension of a function of bounded type to the spectrum of $H_b(U)$ is not necessarily of bounded type. We also consider the holomorphic convexity of U in terms of its H_b-envelope and apply these concepts to study H_b-domains of holomorphy. In section 3 we study extensions of functions on U to open subsets of E'', with particular interest on the Aron-Berner extension [4]. For a balanced subset U of a symmetrically regular Banach space E, we describe the largest open subset of E'' to which there exists Aron-Berner extensions of functions in $H_b(U)$ (this could be seen as the envelope of U in the context of Dineen and Venkova's work [10]). In section 4 we consider Banach spaces for which finite type polynomials are dense in $H_b(E)$. When they are also reflexive, they are called Tsirelson-like spaces following [19]. We characterize the density of finite type polynomials in terms of the spectrum of $H_b(U)$ (more precisely, in terms of the projection of the spectrum on E'', $\pi(M_b(U))$). We also show that Tsirelson-like spaces are precisely the spaces where the holomorphic convexity of some U is equivalent to all the elements of the spectrum being evaluations on points in U, extending some results of [16] and [19]. This means that Tsirelson-like spaces are the only spaces that behave as in the several complex variables theory. We also give a Banach-Stone type result which improves some results in [19] and [5]. In the last section we present some properties of the spectrum of $H_b(U)$, somehow extending the study of [3] and [5]. In the case $U = E$, it was shown in [9, Section 6.3] that bounded type entire functions extend to holomorphic functions on the spectrum $M_b(E)$ which are of bounded type on each sheet. We prove, in contrast, that in most cases there are polynomials whose extensions are not of bounded type on the whole Riemann domain $M_b(E)$. Then we concentrate in the case $U = B_{\ell_p}$ to show that the structure of the spectrum in not what one may expect from the case $U = E$, with E a symmetrically regular Banach space. In the latter case, $M_b(E)$ is the disjoint union of copies of E''. However, we show that $M_b(B_{\ell_p})$ is not a disjoint union of "unit balls". For $p \in \mathbb{N}$, we also define a distinguished spectrum to where the canonical extensions are of bounded type and which turns out to be a H_b-domain of holomorphy.

1. ENVELOPES OF HOLOMORPHY

In this section we obtain a characterization of the envelope of holomorphy for the functions of bounded type on a Riemann domain.

Let us recall the definition of extension morphism and envelope of holomorphy for a family of holomorphic functions (see, for example, [14, Chapter XIII]). If (X, p), (Y, q) are Riemann domains spread over a Banach space E. A morphism is a continuous mapping $\tau : X \to Y$ such that $q \circ \tau = p$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a subset of $H(X)$, then a morphism $\tau : X \to Y$ is said to be an $\mathcal{F}-$*extension* of X if for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$ there is a unique $\tilde{f} \in H(Y)$ such that $\tilde{f} \circ \tau = f$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[rr, "\tau"] \arrow[dr, "p"'] & & Y \arrow[dl, "q"] \\
& E &
\end{tikzcd}$$

A morphism $\tau : X \to Y$ is said to be an $\mathcal{F}-$*envelope of holomorphy* of X if τ is an $\mathcal{F}$-extension of X and if for each $\mathcal{F}$-extension of X, $\nu : X \to Z$, there is a morphism $\mu : Z \to Y$ such that $\mu \circ \nu = \tau$. As we have already mentioned, the envelope of $\mathcal{F}$-holomorphy of X can be thought as the largest Riemann domain Y to which every $f \in \mathcal{F}$ has a unique holomorphic extension.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& & Y \arrow[dl, bend left, "q"] \\
X \arrow[urr, bend left, "\tau"] \arrow[r, "\nu"] \arrow[dr, "p"'] & Z \arrow[ur, dashed, "\mu"] \arrow[d] & \\
& E &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Regarding holomorphic functions of bounded type, the H_b-envelope of holomorphy was constructed, for example, by Hirschowitz in [12]. For general families of functions $\mathcal{F}$, the existence of the $\mathcal{F}$-envelope of holomorphy can be seen in [14, Chapter XIII].

The functions of bounded type form a class of functions that can be defined on any Riemann domain, and that has a topology different from the space of all holomorphic functions. These two facts may arise some concerns about the proper definition of envelope of holomorphy. For example, it may be more natural to consider the largest Riemann domain to which every $f \in H_b(X)$ has a unique holomorphic extension which is of bounded type. Or the largest Riemann domain Y to which every $f \in H_b(X)$ has a unique holomorphic extension, so that evaluating the extensions on elements of Y are continuous homomorphisms on $H_b(X)$. Note that in several complex variables, the envelope of holomorphy may be identified with the spectrum. If we expect to obtain something similar, evaluations in elements of the envelope must be continuous. This motivates the following definition:

Definition 1.1. *Let (X, p), (Y, q) be Riemann domains spread over a Banach space E and let $\mathcal{F} \subset H(X)$ be a topological algebra. A morphism $\tau : X \to Y$ is said to be a strong $\mathcal{F}$-extension of X if for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$ there is a unique $\tilde{f} \in H(Y)$ such that $\tilde{f} \circ \tau = f$ and for each $y \in Y$, the mapping $f \in \mathcal{F} \rightsquigarrow \tilde{f}(y)$ belongs to the spectrum of $\mathcal{F}$.*

The morphism $\tau : X \to Y$ is said to be a strong $\mathcal{F}$-envelope of holomorphy of X if τ is a strong $\mathcal{F}$-extension of X and if for each strong $\mathcal{F}$-extension of X, $\nu : X \to Z$, there is a morphism $\mu : Z \to Y$ such that $\nu \circ \mu = \tau$.

Now, for the first concern on the extensions being of bounded type (which is probably more natural), we set:

Definition 1.2. Let (X, p), (Y, q) be Riemann domains spread over a Banach space E and let $\mathcal{F} \subset H(X)$, $\mathcal{G} \subset H(Y)$. A morphism $\tau : X \to Y$ is said to be an *$\mathcal{F}$-$\mathcal{G}$-extension* of X if for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$ there is a unique $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $\tilde{f} \circ \tau = f$.

For the particular case of $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ being the spaces of holomorphic functions of bounded type on X and Y, we define:

Definition 1.3. Let (X, p) be a Riemann domain spread over a Banach space E. A morphism $\tau : X \to Y$ is said to be an *H_b-H_b-envelope of holomorphy* of X if τ is an H_b-H_b-extension of X and if for each H_b-H_b-extension of X, $\nu : X \to Z$, there is a morphism $\mu : Z \to Y$ such that $\nu \circ \mu = \tau$.

Finally, we say that a Riemann domain (X, p) is a H_b-domain of holomorphy if it coincides with its H_b-envelope of holomorphy.

It is easy to see that the envelope of holomorphy is, whenever it exists, unique up to an isomorphism. Also, the last definition can be generalized to pairs of classes of functions that are defined in any Riemann domain. It is not clear that any of these variants of the H_b-envelope of holomorphy should necessarily exist. Note that the classical H_b-envelope exists just because it can be constructed

As we have mentioned in the introduction, the concept H_b-extension morphism introduced by Dineen and Venkova in [10] is different from ours. The main difference is that in our case, the envelope of a Riemann domain over E is also modeled on E, while theirs is modeled on E'' (just as the spectrum [3]). The reasons of our choice is that we want to preserve the uniqueness of extensions, as in the finite dimensional setting, and this cannot be achieved if we allow domains on E''. Also, if we want to define domains of holomorphy as those domains that coincide with their envelopes, we need both of them to be modeled over the same space. However, since extensions to the bidual are crucial in the theory of analytic functions of bounded type, we will devote a section to this kind of extensions.

Now we characterize the strong H_b-envelope for a symmetrically regular E, and show that in this case H_b-envelope and the H_b-envelope of X are actually the same. In the next section, we will show that even for balanced open subsets of E, the H_b-H_b-envelope of holomorphy may fail to exist. It does exists if the balanced open subset is also bounded.

As usual, the spectrum of the algebra under consideration plays a crucial role in the study of the envelope of holomorphy. A complex Banach space E is said to be (symmetrically) regular if every continuous (symmetric) linear mapping $T : E \to E'$ is weakly compact. Recall that T is symmetric if $Tx_1(x_2) = Tx_2(x_1)$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in E$. The first steps towards the description of the spectrum $M_b(E)$ of $H_b(E)$ for a symmetrically regular Banach space E were taken by Aron, Cole and Gamelin in their influential article [1]. In [3, Corollary 2.2] Aron, Galindo, García and Maestre gave $M_b(U)$ a structure of Riemann analytic manifold modeled on E'', for U an open subset of E. For the case $U = E$, $M_b(E)$ can be viewed as the disjoint union of analytic copies of E'', these copies being the connected components of $M_b(E)$. In [9, Section 6.3], there is an elegant exposition of all these results. The study of the spectrum of the algebra of the space of holomorphic functions of bounded type was continued in [5]. The analytic structure of $M_b(X)$ for X a Riemann domain over a symmetrically regular Banach space E was presented in [10]. The resulting structure for Riemann domains is rather analogous to that of open subsets of E.

Note that if E is symmetrically regular the spectrum $M_b(X)$ is modeled on E'' and for the envelope we require a Riemann domain over E. Next lemma shows that this issue can be fixed for an arbitrary Banach space.

Lemma 1.4. *Let (X, p) be a Riemann domain spread over a Banach space E. Then $(\pi^{-1}(E), \pi) \subset (M_b(X), \pi)$ is a Riemann domain spread over E.*

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \pi^{-1}(E)$. If E is symmetrically regular, then by the analytic structure of the spectrum ([3] or [10]), there exist $\delta > 0$ such that π is an homeomorphism from $\{\varphi^z : \|z\|_{E''} < \delta\} \subset M_b(X)$ to $B_{E''}(\pi(\varphi), \delta)$ if $z \in E''$, $\|z\| < \delta$, then $\varphi^z \in M_b(X)$. Moreover, $\pi(\varphi^z) = \pi(\varphi) + z$. Thus if $x \in E$ then $\varphi^x \in \pi^{-1}(E)$ and π is a local homeomorphism between $\pi^{-1}(E)$ and E.

In [3], [10] symmetric regularity is used to ensure that the Aron-Berner extension of every symmetric multilinear form is symmetric. But since we restrict to $(\pi^{-1}(E), \pi)$, we can define φ^x as

$$\varphi^x(f) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varphi\Big(\frac{d^n f(\cdot)}{n!}(x)\Big),$$

and thus we will not make use of the Aron-Berner extension at any moment. Therefore repeating the proofs of [3] or [10] we obtain our result for an arbitrary Banach space E. $\square$

Now we are ready to give the characterization of the strong H_b-envelope of holomorphy, which is very similar to that of several complex variables, especially if E is reflexive:

Theorem 1.5. *Let (X,p) be a connected Riemann domain spread over a Banach space E and let Y be the connected component of $\pi^{-1}(E) \subset M_b(X)$ which intersects $\delta(X)$. Then $\delta : (X,p) \to (Y,\pi)$, $\delta(x) = \delta_x$ is the strong H_b-envelope of X.*

Proof. The fact that $\delta : (X,p) \to (Y,\pi)$ is an H_b-extension can be proved as in [10, Proposition 2.3].

Let $\tau : (X,p) \to (Z,q)$ be a strong H_b-extension morphism. We must show that there is a morphism $\nu : Z \to Y$ such that $\nu \circ \tau = \delta$.

Since $f \in H_b(X) \rightsquigarrow \tilde{f}(z)$ is in $M_b(X)$ for every $z \in Z$ ($\tilde{f}$ the extension of f to Z), there is a well defined mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \nu : \quad & Z \to M_b(X) \\ & \nu(z)(f) = \tilde{f}(z), \end{aligned}$$

for $f \in H_b(X)$, $z \in Z$.

Moreover $\nu(Z) \subset \pi^{-1}(E)$, indeed, if $z \in Z$ and $\gamma \in E'$ then

$$\pi(\nu(z))(\gamma) = \nu(z)(\gamma \circ p) = (\gamma \circ p)^{\sim}(z) = \gamma(q(z)). \tag{1}$$

Thus $\pi(\nu(z)) = q(z)$ which belongs to E.

Note that (1) also proves that $\pi \circ \nu = q$. Therefore in order to prove that $\nu : Z \to \pi^{-1}(E)$ is a morphism it remains to show that ν is continuous.

For each $z_0 \in Z$ let V_{z_0} be a open neighborhood such that $q_{|V_{z_0}} : V_{z_0} \to q(V_{z_0})$ is a homeomorphism. If we prove that

$$\nu\big((q_{|V_{z_0}})^{-1}(q(z_0)+x)\big) = \nu(z_0)^x, \tag{2}$$

for every $x \in E$ with sufficiently small norm, we will have showed that ν is continuous, in fact (2) implies that ν is a local homeomorphism.

Let $f \in H_b(X)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \nu\big((q_{|V_{z_0}})^{-1}(q(z_0)+x)\big)(f) &= \tilde{f}\big((q_{|V_{z_0}})^{-1}(q(z_0)+x)\big) = \sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{d^n[\tilde{f} \circ (q_{|V_{z_0}})^{-1}]}{n!}\big(q(z_0)\big)(x) \\ &= \sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{d^n \tilde{f}}{n!}(z_0)(x), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\nu(z_0)^x(f) = \sum_{n\geq 0} \nu(z_0)\Big(\frac{d^n f}{n!}(\cdot)(x)\Big) = \sum_{n\geq 0} \Big(\frac{d^n f}{n!}(\cdot)(x)\Big)^{\sim}(z_0).$$

Therefore it suffices to prove that

$$\Big(\frac{d^n f}{n!}(\cdot)(x)\Big)^{\sim}(z_0) = \frac{d^n \tilde{f}}{n!}(z_0)(x), \tag{3}$$

for every $n \geq 0$ and every $f \in H_b(X)$.

Let $x \in E$. By [3, p.550] and [14, Corollary 7.18]

$$g(\cdot) = \frac{d^n f}{n!}(\cdot)(x) \in H_b(X),$$

and

$$h(\cdot) = \frac{d^n \tilde{f}}{n!}(\cdot)(x) \in H(Z).$$

Moreover, h is an extension of g to Z. Indeed if $y \in X$ and (V_y, p) is a chart of y such that $(V_{\tau(y)}, q) = (\tau(V_y), q)$ is a chart of $\tau(y)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} h(\tau(y)) &= \frac{d^n[\tilde{f} \circ (q|_{V_{\tau(y)}})^{-1}]}{n!}\big(q(\tau(y))\big)(x) \overset{(*)}{=} \frac{d^n[f \circ (p|_{V_y})^{-1}]}{n!}\big(p(y)\big)(x) \\ &= \frac{d^n f}{n!}\big(y\big)(x) = g(y), \end{aligned}$$

where $(*)$ is true because $\tilde{f} \circ (q|_{V_{\tau(y)}})^{-1} = f \circ (p|_{V_y})^{-1}$ since τ is an H_b-extension.

Since $\left(\frac{d^n f}{n!}(\cdot)(x)\right)^{\sim}$ is also an extension of g to Z, we must have that $h = \left(\frac{d^n f}{n!}(\cdot)(x)\right)^{\sim}$. Therefore we have established (3) for every $n \geq 0$.

To conclude the proof just note that Z is connected since X is, and thus $\nu : Z \to Y$ is a morphism. ☐

We will denote by $(\mathcal{E}_b(X), \pi)$ the strong H_b-envelope of holomorphy of X.

When E is reflexive, the envelope of H_b-envelope resembles the envelope of holomorphy for Riemann domains in several complex variables:

Corollary 1.6. *Let (X, p) be a connected Riemann domain spread over a reflexive Banach space E. Then the strong H_b-envelope of X is the morphism $\delta : X \to Y$, $\delta(x) = \delta_x$, where Y is the connected component of $M_b(X)$ which contains $\delta(X)$.*

Now we show that our definition of strong H_b-envelope, coincides with the classical definition of H_b-envelope:

Theorem 1.7. *Let (X, p) be a connected Riemann domain spread over a Banach space E. Then the H_b-envelope and the strong H_b-envelope of X coincide.*

Proof. Denote by $(\mathcal{E}(X), q)$ the H_b-envelope of X. Then there are a strong H_b-extension $\tau : X \to \mathcal{E}_b(X)$, an H_b-extension $\sigma : X \to \mathcal{E}(X)$ and a morphism $\nu : \mathcal{E}_b(X) \to \mathcal{E}(X)$ such that $\sigma = \nu \circ \tau$.

Let us see that $\nu(\mathcal{E}_b(X))$ is closed in $\mathcal{E}(X)$. Suppose that $y \in \overline{\nu(\mathcal{E}_b(X))} \setminus \nu(\mathcal{E}_b(X))$. Let $W_n = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_b(X) : \varphi \prec X_n\}$. Then by [3] (see also [10, Proposition 1.5]), $d_X(W_n) \geq \frac{1}{n}$. Therefore we can get a subsequence of integers $(n_k)_k$ and a sequence $(y_k)_k \subset \mathcal{E}_b(X)$ such that $y_k \in W_{n_{k+1}} \setminus W_{n_k}$ and $y_k \to y$. Thus there are functions $f_k \in H_b(X)$ such that $\|f_k\|_{X_{n_k}} < \frac{1}{2^k}$ and $|\tilde{f}_k(y_k)| > k + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} |\tilde{f}_j(y_k)|$. Then the series $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f_j$ converges to $f \in H_b(X)$ and moreover $\left|\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f_j\right)^{\sim}(y_k)\right| = \left|\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_j(y_k)\right|$ because y_k belongs to $\mathcal{E}_b(X)$

and thus δ_{y_k} is a continuous homomorphism. Therefore

$$|\tilde{f}(y_k)| = \Big|\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f_j\Big)^{\sim}(y_k)\Big| = \Big|\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_j(y_k)\Big| \geq |\tilde{f}_k(y_k)| - \Big|\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \tilde{f}_j(y_k)\Big| - \Big|\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_j(y_k)\Big| > k-1,$$

so we have that $|\tilde{f}(y_k)| \to \infty$ and then f cannot be extended to y. This is a contradiction since y belongs to the H_b-envelope of X, $\mathcal{E}(X)$. Thus $\nu(\mathcal{E}_b(X))$ is closed in $\mathcal{E}(X)$.

On the other hand $\nu(\mathcal{E}_b(X))$ is open in $\mathcal{E}(X)$ because ν is a morphism. Therefore $\nu(\mathcal{E}_b(X)) = \mathcal{E}(X)$. □

Corollary 1.8. *Let (X,p), (Y,q) be connected Riemann domains spread over a Banach space E and suppose that the morphism $\nu : X \to Y$ is an H_b-extension. Then τ is an strong H_b-extension.*

Proof. Let $\tau : X \to \mathcal{E}(X)$ be the morphism into the envelope of X. By Theorem 1.7, $\mathcal{E}(X) = \mathcal{E}_b(X)$, and thus the evaluation at each point of $\mathcal{E}(X)$ is an $H_b(X)$-continuous homomorphism.

On the other hand, there exist a morphism $\mu : Y \to \mathcal{E}(X)$ such that $\mu \circ \nu = \tau$. Thus the evaluation at a point $y \in Y$ coincides with the evaluation at $\mu(y)$ and therefore it is $H_b(X)$-continuous. □

Theorem 1.7 says that the envelope is contained in the spectrum. In other words, evaluations on elements of the envelope are always continuous. Of course, the coincidence of the strong and the classical H_b-envelopes also allows us to give a characterization of the latter:

Corollary 1.9. *Let (X,p) be a connected Riemann domain spread over a symmetrically regular Banach space E and let Y be the connected component of $\pi^{-1}(E)$ which intersects $\delta(X)$. Then $\delta : (X,p) \to (Y,\pi)$, $\delta(x) = \delta_x$ is the H_b-envelope of X.*

The following result is widely known and follows from a straightforward connectedness argument (see, for example, [6, Proposition 1.3]).

Lemma 1.10. *Let (X,p), (Y,q) be connected Riemann domains spread over a Banach space E and let $u, v : X \to Y$ be morphisms. Suppose that X is connected, then either $u(x) = v(x)$ for every $x \in X$ or $u(x) \neq v(x)$ for every $x \in X$.*

Theorem 1.11. *Let (X,p) be a connected Riemann domain spread over a Banach space E. If the H_b-H_b-envelope of X exists, then it coincides with the H_b-envelope $\mathcal{E}_b(X)$ of X.*

Proof. Let (Y,τ) be the H_b-H_b-envelope of X. We put $V_n = \delta(X) \cup W_n^{\circ}$, where W_n was defined in the proof of Theorem 1.7. Then the extension of every function in $H_b(X)$ to V_n is of bounded type. Thus the inclusion $i_n : X \hookrightarrow V_n$ is an H_b-H_b-extension and thus there exist morphisms $\nu_n : V_n \to Y$ such that $\tau = \nu_n \circ i_n$. If $m > n$ then $\nu_m|_{V_n} : V_n \to Y$ is a morphism and since $\nu_n|_U = \nu_m|_U = \tau$ we have by Lemma 1.10 that $\nu_m|_{V_n} = \nu_n$.

Therefore the application $\nu : \mathcal{E}_b(X) \to Y$, $\nu(x) = \nu_n(x)$ if $x \in V_n$, is well defined and is a morphism since $\nu|_{V_n}$ is a morphism for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

On the other hand, it is clear that we have an H_b-extension morphism from X to Y and this gives a morphism from Y to $\mathcal{E}_b(X)$ which is the inverse of ν by Lemma 1.10. □

A consequence of the previous theorem is the following: in order that the H_b-H_b-envelope of X exist, it is necessary and sufficient that every function on $H_b(X)$ extends to a holomorphic function of bounded type on $\mathcal{E}_b(X)$. In the next section we will show that this is not always the case, so the H_b-H_b-envelope does not always exist.

2. Envelopes of open subsets of a Banach space

In this section we restrict ourselves to open subsets of a Banach space E. In order to give a more precise and concrete description of the H_b-envelope, we first study when every function in $H_b(U)$ can be extended to some larger open subset of E. We are particularly interested in establishing if the extensions are also of bounded type. As a consequence of the results in this section, we characterize the H_b-envelope of an open balanced set U in terms of the polinomially convex hulls of the U-bounded sets. We show that in general the extensions to the H_b-envelope are not of bounded type, answering the question of Hirschowitz [12]. Since we have seen that the H_b-envelope is contained in the spectrum, extensions to the spectrum may also fail to be of bounded type. Also, the same example shows that H_b-H_b-envelope of a balanced set does not always exist. However, we will see that if U is bounded and balanced then the extension is of bounded type and thus the H_b-envelope is also its H_b-H_b-envelope.

First we give some definitions: Let $U \subset E$ be an open set. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a set of functions defined on U (e.g. $H_b(U)$, $H_b(E)$, or $\mathcal{P}(E)$), and A a U-bounded set, we denote by $\widehat{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$ its $\mathcal{F}$-hull, that is

$$\widehat{A}_{\mathcal{F}} = \{x \in E : \ |f(x)| \leq \|f\|_A \ \text{ for every } f \in \mathcal{F}\}$$

If $U_n = \{x \in U : \ \|x\| \leq n, \text{ and } d(x, E \setminus U) \geq \frac{1}{n}\}$, then $\{U_n\}_n$ is a fundamental sequence of U-bounded sets, and we define the set

$$\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{F}} := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\widehat{U}_n\right)_{\mathcal{F}}.$$

Definition 2.1. *U is (strongly) $\mathcal{F}$-convex if $\widehat{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is U-bounded for every U-bounded set $A \subset U$.*

Vieira [19] proved that U is $\mathcal{P}(E)$-convex if and only if U is $H_b(E)$-convex (moreover, she proved that $\widehat{A}_{\mathcal{P}(E)} = \widehat{A}_{H_b(E)}$ for each bounded set A). If U is balanced then it is also equivalent for U to be $H_b(U)$-convex ([19, Proposition 1.5]).

Inspired by [5], we say that a point $x \in E$ is an evaluation for $H_b(U)$ if there is some $\varphi \in M_b(U)$ such that $f(x) = \varphi(f)$ for every $f \in H_b(E)$. If $H_b(E)$ is dense in $H_b(U)$ then φ is uniquely determined. In this case it will be denoted by δ_x. The set of all evaluation points for $H_b(U)$ will be denoted by $\overset{\vee}{U}$. So we have the following:

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{P}(E)$ or $H_b(E)$, then*

1. *$U \subset \overset{\vee}{U} \subset \widehat{U}_{\mathcal{F}}$.*
2. *If $\mathcal{P}(E)$ is dense in $H_b(U)$ (for example, if U is balanced), then $\overset{\vee}{U} = \widehat{U}_{\mathcal{F}}$.*
3. *U is $\mathcal{F}$-convex if and only if $U = \overset{\vee}{U} = \widehat{U}_{\mathcal{F}}$.*

(4) $\overset{\vee}{U}$ *is an open subset of* $\pi(\pi^{-1}(E))$.

Proof. (1) If $z \notin \widehat{U}_{\mathcal{F}}$ then there exist $f_n \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $f_n(z) = 1$ and $\|f_n\|_{U_n} \leq \frac{1}{n}$.

In particular, $f_n \to 0$ in $H_b(U)$, and then $\psi(f_n) \to 0$ for every $\psi \in M_b(U)$. But if $\varphi \in M_b(U)$ is such that $\varphi(f) = f(z)$ for every $f \in H_b(E)$ then $\varphi(f_n) = f_n(z) = 1$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $z \notin \overset{\vee}{U}$.

(2) If $\mathcal{P}(E)$ is dense in $H_b(U)$ and $z \in \widehat{U}_{\mathcal{F}}$, then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|f(z)| \leq \|f\|_{U_n}$ for every $f \in H_b(E)$ and therefore δ_z is a bounded homomorphism defined on a dense subset of $H_b(U)$. Hence we can extend δ_z to an element of $M_b(U)$, and then $z \in \overset{\vee}{U}$.

(3) The "only if" part is a consequence of the definitions and from the first assertion. The "if" part follows from [19, Lemma 1.3].

(4) Let $x \in \overset{\vee}{U}$, then there exist $\varphi \in M_b(U)$ such that $\varphi(f) = f(x)$ for every entire function of bounded type. Since $\overset{\vee}{U} \subset E$, φ actually belong to $\pi^{-1}(E)$.

Thus there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\varphi^y \in \pi^{-1}(E)$ for every $y \in B_E(0, \delta)$ (see Lemma 1.4). Moreover for every $f \in H_b(E)$, $\varphi^y(f) = f(x + y)$. Therefore, $x + y$ is in $\overset{\vee}{U}$. □

Corollary 2.3. *Let U be an open balanced subset of a Banach space E. Then $\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is the H_b-envelope of U.*

Proof. By Corollary 1.9 we have to show that $\delta(\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}})$ is the connected component of $\pi^{-1}(E) \subset M_b(U)$ which contains $\delta(U)$. The proof of Proposition 2.2 (2) actually shows that $\delta\big(\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}}\big) \subset M_b(U)$. Thus it is contained in $\pi^{-1}(E)$. Moreover, by [19, Lemma 1.4], $\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is balanced and hence connected.

On the other hand, if $z \in E \setminus \widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}}$, then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist functions $f_n \in H_b(E)$ such that $\|f_n\|_{U_n} \leq \frac{1}{2^n}$ and $|f_n(z)| > 1$. Thus $f_n \to 0$ in $H_b(U)$ and therefore δ_z cannot be a continuous homomorphism. □

Thus if U is balanced (in fact, this works whenever polynomials are dense in $H_b(U)$) we can extend holomorphic functions of bounded type on U to $\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}}$. By Corollary 1.8 the inclusion $U \hookrightarrow \widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is a strong H_b-extension. Moreover, it is possible to obtain extensions which are of bounded type "on every point" of $\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}}$ in the following sense.

Proposition 2.4. *Let U be a balanced open set. Then every holomorphic function of bounded type on U extend to $\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}}$. Moreover for each $y \in \widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}}$, there exist a connected open set U_y, such that $\{y\} \cup U \subset U_y \subset \widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}}$ and such that every holomorphic function of bounded type on U extend to a bounded type function on U_y.*

For the proof we will use the following two Lemma's which are similar to results in [8].

Lemma 2.5. *Suppose that $\mathcal{P}(E)$ is dense in $H_b(U)$. Let B be a U-bounded set, $y \in \widehat{B}_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $f \in H_b(U)$. Denote by $\tilde{f}$ the (holomorphic) extension of f to $\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}}$.*

Then $\|\dfrac{d^k \tilde{f}(y)}{k!}\| \leq \sup_{x \in B} \|\dfrac{d^k f(x)}{k!}\|$.

Proof. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{P}^k(E)'$ such that $\|\phi\| \le 1$. Then $g = \phi \circ \frac{d^k f}{k!}$ belongs to $H_b(U)$. Let $(P_n)_n \subset \mathcal{P}(E)$ such that $P_n \to g$ in $H_b(U)$. Then $\tilde{g}(y) = \lim P_n(y)$ and since $y \in \widehat{B}_{\mathcal{P}}$, we have that $|P_n(y)| \le \|P_n\|_B$. Note also that $\tilde{g}$ and $\phi \circ \frac{d^k \tilde{f}}{k!}$ are holomorphic functions in $\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}}$ which coincide in U so they are the same function.

Therefore $\big|\phi\big(\frac{d^k \tilde{f}}{k!}(y)\big)\big| = |\tilde{g}(y)| \le \|g\|_B = \sup_{x\in B} \big|\phi\big(\frac{d^k f(x)}{k!}\big)\big| \le \sup_{x\in B} \big\|\frac{d^k f(x)}{k!}\big\|$. Since this is true for every $\phi \in \mathcal{P}^k(E)'$ such that $\|\phi\| \le 1$, we conclude that $\big\|\frac{d^k \tilde{f}(y)}{k!}\big\| \le \sup_{x\in B} \big\|\frac{d^k f(x)}{k!}\big\|$. ☐

Lemma 2.6. *Suppose that $\mathcal{P}(E)$ is dense in $H_b(U)$. Let $y \in \big(\widehat{U_n}\big)_{\mathcal{P}}$. Then for every function $f \in H_b(U)$ the Taylor series of $\tilde{f}$ at y converges on the ball $B_E(y, \frac{3}{4n})$. Moreover, $B_E(y, \frac{2}{3n}) \subset \widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}}$ and for every $\|x\| < \frac{2}{3n}$, $|\tilde{f}(x+y)| \le \|f\|_{U_{4n}}$.*

Proof. For every $x \in U_n$, we have that $B_E(x, \frac{3}{4n}) \subset U_{4n}$. By the previous Lemma and Cauchy inequalities,

$$\Big\|\frac{d^k \tilde{f}(y)}{k!}\Big\| \le \sup_{x\in U_n} \Big\|\frac{d^k f(x)}{k!}\Big\| \le \|f\|_{U_{4n}} \big(\frac{4}{3}n\big)^k.$$

By the Cauchy-Hadamard formula, the Taylor series of $\tilde{f}$ at y converge in $B_E(y, \frac{3}{4n})$, and if $\|x\| < \frac{2}{3n}$ then

$$\Big|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{d^k \tilde{f}(y)}{k!}(x)\Big| \le \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Big\|\frac{d^k \tilde{f}(y)}{k!}\Big\| \|x\|^k \le \|f\|_{U_{4n}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \big(\frac{4}{3}n\big)^k \big(\frac{2}{3n}\big)^k = 9\|f\|_{U_{4n}}.$$

Since this is true for every function in $H_b(U)$, in particular, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and each polynomial P, we have that $\|P\|^k_{B_E(y,\frac{3}{4n})} = \|P^k\|_{B_E(y,\frac{3}{4n})} \le 9\|P^k\|_{U_{4n}} = 9\|f\|^k_{U_{4n}}$ and thus $\|P\|_{B_E(y,\frac{3}{4n})} \le \|P\|_{U_{4n}}$. This implies that $B_E(y, \frac{3}{4n}) \subset \big(\widehat{U_{4n}}\big)_{\mathcal{P}} \subset \widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}}$. Therefore $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{d^k \tilde{f}(y)}{k!}(x) = \tilde{f}(y+x)$ and a similar reasoning allows us conclude that $\|\tilde{f}\|_{B_E(y,\frac{3}{4n})} \le \|f\|_{U_{4n}}$. ☐

Proof. (of Proposition 2.4) The point y belongs to $\big(\widehat{U_n}\big)_{\mathcal{P}}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large, which is balanced by [19, Lemma 1.4], and hence the segment $[0, y]$ is contained in $\big(\widehat{U_n}\big)_{\mathcal{P}}$. Let $U_y := \Big(\bigcup_{z\in[0,y]} B_E(z, \frac{2}{3n})\Big) \cup U$. By the last Lemma $U_y \subset \widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}}$ and for each $f \in H_b(U)$, $\|\tilde{f}\|_{\bigcup_{z\in[0,y]} B_E(z,\frac{2}{3n})} \le \|f\|_{U_{4n}} < \infty$. Therefore $\tilde{f}$ is of bounded type on U_y. ☐

Remark 2.7. Note that if $f \in H_b(U)$, then the extension $\tilde{f}$ to $\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}}$ belongs to the set $\{g \in H(\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}}) :\ g \text{ is bounded in } (\widehat{U_n})_{\mathcal{P}} \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

On the other hand, we cannot expect to extend the functions of $H_b(U)$ to sets larger than $\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}}$ (or to points outside $\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}}$). Indeed suppose $V \supset U$ is another connected open set such that the inclusion $U \hookrightarrow V$ is an H_b-extension. If $z \in V$ then δ_z belongs to $M_b(U)$ by Corollary 1.8. Since for every entire function f, $\delta_z(f) = f(z)$, we conclude that z belongs to $\overset{\vee}{U}$. Therefore functions of bounded type cannot be extended outside $\overset{\vee}{U}$, and neither outside $\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}}$ by Proposition 2.2(1).

At this point, it is natural to ask is if the extension to $\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}}$ must be of bounded type. By Corollary 2.3 this is related to the following question made by Hirschowitz in [12, Remarque 1.8]: is the extension of every function of bounded type to its H_b-envelope of holomorphy of bounded type? The next example shows that in general the extensions to $\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}}$ are not necessarily in $H_b(\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}})$, answering both questions by the negative. Moreover, since by Theorem 1.9 the H_b-envelope is contained in the spectrum, this also shows that canonical extensions to the spectrum are not always of bounded type. We present, inspired in [5, Example 7], an open balanced set $U \subset c_0$ and a function in $H_b(U)$ which cannot be extended to a holomorphic function of bounded type on $H_b(\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}})$.

Example 2.8. *There is a subset $U \subset c_0$ and a function $g \in H_b(U)$ whose extension to $\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}(c_0)}$ is not of bounded type.*

Proof. Set $E = c_0$, and for $x \in c_0$, let

$$j(x) = \min\{j :\ |x_{2j}| = \max_{i\in\mathbb{N}} |x_{2i}|\}.$$

Note that $j(\lambda x) = j(x)$ if $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. We define for $k > 4$

$$p_k(x) = \left|k x_{2k+1} + x_{2j(x)}\right| + k \sup_{i\neq k} |x_{2i+1}|,$$

and the sets $V_k = \{x \in c_0 :\ p_k(x) < 2\}$.

Let U be the following balanced set

$$U = \bigcup_{k>4} V_k + \frac{1}{4} B_{c_0},$$

where B_{c_0} denotes the open unit ball of c_0.

We first show that $\left\{ \widehat{(U_n)}_{\mathcal{P}(c_0)} \right\}_n$ is not a fundamental sequence of $\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}(c_0)}$-bounded sets.

Fix $k > 0$. Since $p_k(e_{2k+1} - k e_{2m}) = 0$ then $e_{2k+1} - k e_{2m} \in V_k$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus if $\|x\| < \frac{1}{8}$, $dist(e_{2k+1} - k e_{2m} + x, c_0 \setminus U) > \frac{1}{8}$ and therefore $C_k := \{e_{2k+1} - k e_{2m} + \frac{1}{8} B_{c_0} : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is U-bounded.

We now prove that $e_{2k+1} + x \in \widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}(c_0)}$ if $\|x\| < \frac{1}{8}$. Let $P \in \mathcal{P}(c_0)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Since finite type polynomials are dense in $\mathcal{P}(c_0)$, we can take $Q \in \mathcal{P}_f(c_0)$ such that $\|P - Q\|_{(k+2)B_{c_0}} < \varepsilon/3$. Moreover, since Q is of finite type and $\{e_{2m}\}_m$ is weakly null, there is m_0 such that if $m \geq m_0$ then $|Q(e_{2k+1} - k e_{2m} + x) - Q(e_{2k+1} + x)| < \varepsilon/3$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |P(e_{2k+1} - k e_{2m} + x) - P(e_{2k+1} + x)| \ &\leq\ |P(e_{2k+1} - k e_{2m} + x) - Q(e_{2k+1} - k e_{2m} + x)| \\ &\quad + |Q(e_{2k+1} - k e_{2m} + x) - Q(e_{2k+1} + x)| \\ &\quad + |Q(e_{2k+1} + x) - P(e_{2k+1} + x)| < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$|P(e_{2k+1} + x)| \leq \sup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} |P(e_{2k+1} - k e_{2m} + x)| \leq \sup_{y\in C_k} |P(y)|,$$

and then $e_{2k+1} + x \in \widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}(c_0)}$ if $\|x\| < \frac{1}{8}$ and $k > 4$, which means that $D := \{e_{2n+1} :\ n > 4\}$ is $\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}(c_0)}$-bounded.

Suppose that $C \subset U$ is such that $D \subset \widehat{C}_{\mathcal{P}(c_0)}$. We now show that C cannot be U-bounded. Since $e_{2n+1} \in \widehat{C}_{\mathcal{P}(c_0)}$, we have that $|P(e_{2n+1})| \leq \sup_{y\in C} |P(y)|$. In particular, if $P = e'_{2n+1}$, this says that $1 \leq \sup_{y \in C} |y_{2n+1}|$. Thus there is a sequence $\{y^n\} \subset C$ such that $|y^n_{2n+1}| > \frac{3}{4}$.

For each y^n there exists $k > 4$ such that $y^n \in V_k + \frac{1}{4} B_{c_0}$ and thus there is some $x^n \in V_k$ such that $\|x^n - y^n\| < \frac{1}{4}$. Note that $|x^n_{2n+1}| > \frac{1}{2}$. Actually we have that $x_n \in V_n$, indeed, if $j \neq n$,

$$p_j(x^n) = \left|j x^n_{2j+1} + x^n_{2j(x^n)}\right| + j \sup_{i \neq j} |x^n_{2i+1}| \geq j |x^n_{2n+1}| > \frac{j}{2} > 2.$$

This implies that $x^n \notin V_j$. Then

$$2 > p_n(x^n) \geq \left|n x^n_{2n+1} + x^n_{2j(x^n)}\right| \geq \left|n |x^n_{2n+1}| - |x^n_{2j(x^n)}|\right|,$$

so we have that

$$\|x^n\| \geq |x^n_{2j(x^n)}| > n |x^n_{2n+1}| - 2 > \frac{n}{2} - 2. \tag{4}$$

Therefore $\|y^n\| > \|x^n\| - \frac{1}{4} > \frac{n}{2} - 2 - \frac{1}{4}$. Since $\{y^n\}_n \subset C$, this tell us that C is not bounded. We have proved that $\left\{\widehat{(U_n)}_{\mathcal{P}(c_0)}\right\}_n$ is not a fundamental sequence of $\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}(c_0)}$-bounded sets.

We now define the function g whose extension to $\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}(c_0)}$ is not of bounded type.

Let $g_n(x) := \left(\frac{5}{4} x_{2n+1}\right)^n$, for $x \in c_0$. We will prove that $\{g_n\}_n$ is a bounded sequence in $H_b(U)$ but not in $H_b(\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}(c_0)})$.

Since $g_n(e_{2n+1}) = \left(\frac{5}{4}\right)^n$, $\{g_n\}_n$ is not bounded in the $\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}(c_0)}$-bounded set D, and thus $\{g_n\}_n$ is not bounded in $H_b(\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}(c_0)})$.

Let A be U-bounded and take $M > 0$ such that $\|x\| < M - \frac{1}{4}$ for all $x \in A$. Let $x_0 \in A$, then $x_0 = y + z$ with $y \in V_k$ for some $k \geq 5$ and $\|z\| < \frac{1}{4}$. We claim that if $n > 2(M+2)$ then $|y_{2n+1}| \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Indeed, if $n \neq k$, then $k|y_{2n+1}| < 2$ and thus $|y_{2n+1}| < \frac{2}{5}$. On the other hand, if $n = k$ and $|y_{2n+1}| > \frac{1}{2}$, we can apply equation (4) to y, which implies that $\|y\| > \frac{n}{2} - 2$. But this contradicts the fact that $\|y\| < M$ and $n > 2(M+2)$. Therefore

$$g_n(x) = \left|\frac{5}{4}\big(y_{2n+1} + z_{2n+1}\big)\right|^n < \Big(\frac{5}{4}\big(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4}\big)\Big)^n < 1,$$

for every $x \in A$ and every $n > 2(M+2)$. Since $\sup\{|g_n(x)| : \ x \in A, \ 1 \leq n \leq 2(M+2)\} < \infty$, we conclude that $\{g_n\}_n$ is a bounded sequence in $H_b(U)$.

If we take g the function

$$g(x) = \sum_{n\mathbb{N}} \big(\frac{8}{9}\big)^n \big(\frac{5}{4} x_{2n+1}\big)^n,$$

then g belongs to $H_b(U)$ but (its extension) does not belong to $H_b(\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}(c_0)})$. □

The previous example also shows that the H_b-H_b-envelope of holomorphy does not exist in general:

Corollary 2.9. *The H_b-H_b-envelope of holomorphy does not always exist.*

Proof. Let U a balanced open set such that there exist $f \in H_b(U)$ whose extension to $\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}}$, $\tilde{f}$, is not of bounded type (take, for instance, the open subset of c_0 given in the previous example). If the H_b-H_b-envelope of U existed, by Theorem 1.11 it should coincide with $\mathcal{E}_b(U) = \widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}}$. But this is impossible since the extension of f to $\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is not of bounded type. $\square$

Note that the set considered in Example 2.8 is unbounded. For bounded balanced domains, we see that everything works fine. To prove this we will use the following Lemma which states that the polynomial hull of a balanced set coincides with the intersection of its homogeneous polynomial hulls. This was noticed, for example, in [18].

Lemma 2.10. *Let $V \subset E$ be a balanced set. Then $\widehat{V}_{\mathcal{P}} = \cap_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\widehat{V}_{\mathcal{P}_n}$, where $\widehat{V}_{\mathcal{P}_n} = \{x \in E : |P(x)| \leq \|P\|_A$ for every $f \in \mathcal{P}(^nE)\}$.*

Proof. We only need to prove that $\cap_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\widehat{V}_{\mathcal{P}_n} \subset \widehat{V}_{\mathcal{P}}$ since the other inclusion is clearly true for every open set.

Let $z \in \cap_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\widehat{V}_{\mathcal{P}_n}$ and let $P \in \mathcal{P}(E)$ with $deg\ P = k$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that $P^n = Q_0 + \cdots + Q_{nk}$, with $Q_j \in \mathcal{P}(^jE)$. By the Cauchy inequalities, $\|Q_j\|_V \leq \|P^n\|_V$, thus $|P^n(z)| = |\sum_{j=0}^{nk} Q_j(z)| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{nk} \|Q_j\|_V \leq \sum_{j=0}^{nk} \|P^n\|_V = (nk+1)\|P\|_V^n$. Therefore $|P(z)| \leq (nk+1)^{\frac{1}{n}}\|P\|_V$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which implies that $|P(z)| \leq \|P\|_V$. $\square$

Theorem 2.11. *Let $U \subset E$ be a bounded open balanced set, then every function in $H_b(U)$ can be extended to a holomorphic function of bounded type in $\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}}$.*

Proof. Let $f \in H_b(U)$. By Corollary 2.3 f can be extended to a holomorphic function $\tilde{f}$ on $\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}}$. We must show that $\tilde{f} \in H_b(\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}})$.

Since U is a bounded balanced set, $(\frac{n}{n+1}U)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a fundamental system of U-bounded sets. We will prove that $\big((\frac{n}{n+1}U)^{\wedge}_{\mathcal{P}}\big)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a fundamental system of $\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}}$-bounded sets. Let $A \subset \widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}}$ be a $\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}}$-bounded set. Then $A \subset \frac{n}{n+1}\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, so it suffices to prove that $\frac{n}{n+1}\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}} \subset (\frac{n}{n+1}U)^{\wedge}_{\mathcal{P}}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $x \in \frac{n}{n+1}\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}}$, then $\frac{n+1}{n}x \in \widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}}$. If $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $Q_j \in \mathcal{P}(^jE)$ then $|Q_j(\frac{n+1}{n}x)| \leq \|Q_j\|_U$. Therefore $|Q_j(x)| \leq \big(\frac{n}{n+1}\big)^j\|Q_j\|_U = \sup_{y\in U}|Q_j(\frac{n}{n+1}y)| = \|Q_j\|_{\frac{n}{n+1}U}$ which means that $x \in (\frac{n}{n+1}U)^{\wedge}_{\mathcal{P}_j}$ and by Lemma 2.10, $x \in (\frac{n}{n+1}U)^{\wedge}_{\mathcal{P}}$.

We have shown that $A \subset (\frac{n}{n+1}U)^{\wedge}_{\mathcal{P}}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and thus by Remark 2.7, $\tilde{f} \in H_b(\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}})$. $\square$

Corollary 2.12. *Let U be a bounded open balanced set of the symmetrically regular Banach space E. Then $\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is the H_b-H_b-envelope of U.*

We end this section by applying previous results to study H_b-domains of holomorphy:

Corollary 2.13. *Let $U \subset E$ be an bounded open balanced set, then $\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is a H_b-domain of holomorphy.*

Proof. Note that if $z \in \widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}}$ and A is a U-bounded set such that $|\delta_z(f)| \leq \|f\|_A$ for every f in $H_b(U)$. Let $r < dist(A, U^c)$, then it can be shown as in [3] that $\{(\delta_z)^a\ :\ a \in E,\ \|a\| <$

$r\} \subset M_b(U)$ (note that since we only consider $a \in E$ the symmetric regularity of E is not needed). But this means that $B_E(z,r) \subset \widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}}$. Therefore $dist(z, (\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}})^c) \geq dist(A, U^c)$.

Now we can adapt the proof of [10, Proposition 2.4] (together with Theorem 2.11). $\square$

Next corollary is now an immediate consequence of the previous results.

Corollary 2.14. *Let E be a symmetrically regular Banach space and let $U \subset E$ be an bounded open balanced set. Then U is a H_b-domain of holomorphy if and only if $U = \widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}}$.*

3. EXTENDING FUNCTIONS OF BOUNDED TYPE TO OPEN SUBSETS OF E''

In this section we try to extend functions of $H_b(U)$ to open sets in E'' containing U. We would like have a result similar to Proposition 2.4, where V denotes an open set in E''. First of all note that the argument given after Remark 2.7 is no longer true, since the restriction map fails to be injective. Therefore it is not clear which is (if there exists) the larger set on E'' to which we can obtain extensions of bounded type in the sense of Proposition 2.4.

Let us start by defining the following variation of the set $\overset{\vee}{U}$ that allow elements of the bidual:

$$\overset{\vee}{U}'' := \{z \in E'' \ : \ \text{there is some } \varphi \in M_b(U) \text{ such that } \varphi(f) = \tilde{f}(z) \text{ for every } f \in H_b(E)\}.$$

Note that $\overset{\vee}{U} = \overset{\vee}{U}'' \cap E$ and $\overset{\vee}{U}'' \subset \pi(M_b(U))$ for every open set U. Analogously, we define for a U-bounded set A,

$$\widehat{A}''_{\mathcal{P}} = \{x'' \in E'' : \ |AB(f)(x'')| \leq \|f\|_A \ \text{ for every } f \in \mathcal{P}(E)\},$$

where $AB(f)$ denotes the Aron-Berner extension of f and let

$$\widehat{U}''_{\mathcal{P}} := \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \left(\widehat{U}_n\right)''_{\mathcal{P}}$$

We can prove as in Proposition 2.2 that if U is balanced then $\widehat{U}''_{\mathcal{P}} = \overset{\vee}{U}''$.

Before we go on, let us make clear that we cannot expect $\widehat{U}''_{\mathcal{P}}$ to be the largest open subset of E'' to which functions on $H_b(U)$ extend. For example, take a nonreflexive Banach space E that is complemented in its bidual E'', say $E'' = E \oplus M$. Denote by π_E the projection to E. Then every function $f \in H_b(U)$ can be extended to $\tilde{f} \in H_b(U \times M)$ by $\tilde{f} = f \circ \pi_E$. On the other hand, the Hahn-Banach theorem shows that $\widehat{U}''_{\mathcal{P}} \subset \overline{j_E(coe(U))}^{w^*}$. Thus, in general we can extend to sets which are larger than $\widehat{U}''_{\mathcal{P}}$. But we can see that if $j_E(U) \subset W \subset E''$ and we consider a continuous homomorphism $e : H_b(U) \to H(W)$ such that $e(f)(J_E(x)) = f(x)$ for every $x \in U$, $f \in H_b(U)$ and which coincides with the Aron-Berner extension on polynomials, then W must be a subset of $\widehat{U}''_{\mathcal{P}}$. Indeed, if $z \in W \setminus \widehat{U}''_{\mathcal{P}}$, then there exist $f_n \in H_b(E)$ such that $|AB(f_n)(z)| > 1$ and $\|f_n\|_{U_n} < \frac{1}{n}$. Then $f_n \to 0$ in $H_b(U)$ and thus $AB(f_n) = e(f_n) \to 0$ in $H_b(W)$, which contradicts the fact that $|AB(f_n)(z)| > 1$. This shows in particular that the Aron-Berner extension does not coincide with the composition with a projection π_E, at least for bounded sets. And this allows us to think of $\widehat{U}''_{\mathcal{P}}$ as a candidate to be the largest set in which the Aron-Berner extension is defined.

A continuous homomorphism $e : H_b(U) \to H(W)$ $(W \subset E'')$ which is an extension (that is, $e(f)(J_E(x)) = f(x)$ for every $f \in H_b(U)$ and every $x \in U$) and which coincides with the Aron - Berner extension for polynomials will be called an *AB-extension homomorphism.* Note that for us, an AB-extension is a homomorphism between spaces of holomorphic functions, but in the framework of Riemann domains the extensions are morphisms (or "AB-morphisms" in this case) with special properties, so in that context we would say that $(J_E)|_U : U \to W$ is an "extension AB-morphism".

Recall [11, Theorem 1.3] that if U is an absolutely convex open subset of then the Aron-Berner extension is isometric isomorphism $AB : H^\infty(U) \to H^\infty(int(\overline{U}^{w^*}))$.

Corollary 3.1. *Let $U \subset E$ be an open absolutely convex bounded set. Then $\widehat{U}''_{\mathcal{P}} = int(\overline{U}^{w^*})$.*

Proof. $\widehat{U}''_{\mathcal{P}} \subset int(\overline{U}^{w^*})$ by the Hahn - Banach theorem. $int(\overline{U}^{w^*}) \subset \widehat{U}''_{\mathcal{P}}$ since by [11, Theorem 1.5] there is an AB- extension morphism from U to $int(\overline{U}^{w^*})$. $\square$

Let $U \subset E$ be an open subset. We want to fix an open subset of E'' to which there is Aron-Berner extension. Although the following results should be known, we prefer to include them for self-containment, to fix notation and because we will use this construction in the next section. For $x \in U$ we denote $r_x = dist(x, U^c)$. Let $W \subset E''$ be the following open set

$$W = \bigcup_{x \in U} B_{E''}(x, \min\{\frac{r_x}{2}, 1\}).$$

Note that W is balanced if U is and that $W \cap E = J_E(U)$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $U \subset E$ be an open set such that $\mathcal{P}(E)$ is dense in $H_b(U)$. Then there is an AB- extension from $H_b(U)$ to $H_b(W)$.*

Note that by the comments above, $W \subset \widehat{U}''_{\mathcal{P}}$. Before we prove the proposition we need the following

Lemma 3.3. *Let C be a W-bounded set. Then there exists D, U-bounded, such that*

$$C \subset \bigcup_{x \in D} B_{E''}(x, \min\{\frac{r_x}{2}, 1\}).$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon = dist(C, W^c)$ and $N = \sup\{\|z\| : z \in C\}$. Define $D := \{x \in U : \|x\| \leq N + 1, r_x \geq \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon\}$ then D is U-bounded. We must show that $C \subset \bigcup_{x \in D} B_{E''}(x, \min\{\frac{r_x}{2}, 1\})$.

Let $z \in C$, and $x \in U$ such that $z \in B_{E''}(x, \min\{\frac{r_x}{2}, 1\})$, it suffices to prove that $x \in D$.

If $r_x < \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon$ then

$$dist(z, W^c) \leq dist(z, W^c \cap E) \leq \|z - x\| + r_x < \frac{r_x}{2} + r_x < \varepsilon,$$

which is a contradiction. Thus $r_x \geq \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon$.

Moreover, since $z \in B_{E''}(x, 1)$ and $\|z\| \leq N$, we have that $\|x\| \leq N + 1$. Therefore $x \in D$. $\square$

Proof. (of Proposition 3.2) Define $\tilde{\Phi} : \mathcal{P}(E) \to H_b(W)$ by $\tilde{\Phi}(P) = AB(P)_{|W}$. Let us show that it is continuous when we consider on $\mathcal{P}(E)$ the topology induced by $H_b(U)$. Take C a W-bounded set and $P \in \mathcal{P}(E)$. By the previous Lemma there is a U-bounded set D such that $C \subset \bigcup_{x\in D} B_{E''}(x, \min\{\frac{r_x}{2}, 1\})$. Clearly, the set $A = \bigcup_{x\in D} B_E(x, \min\{\frac{r_x}{2}, 1\})$ is U-bounded and since the Aron - Berner extension is isometric in $B_E(x, \min\{\frac{r_x}{2}, 1\})$ for every x, we have that

$$\|\tilde{\Phi}(P)\|_C \leq \|\tilde{\Phi}(P)\|_{\bigcup_{x\in D} B_{E''}(x,\min\{\frac{r_x}{2},1\})} = \|P\|_A < \infty.$$

Thus $\tilde{\Phi}$ extends to a continuous homomorphism $\Phi : H_b(U) \to H_b(W)$ which is clearly an AB-extension. $\square$

Proposition 3.4. *Let U be an open balanced set of a symmetrically regular Banach space E, then*
(a) every function in $H_b(U)$ can be extended to a holomorphic function in $\widehat{U}''_{\mathcal{P}}$ and the extensions are bounded on the sets $\big(\widehat{U}_n\big)''_{\mathcal{P}}$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
(b) if U is bounded then there is an AB-extension morphism from $H_b(U)$ to $H_b(\widehat{U}''_{\mathcal{P}})$.

Proof. (a) We prove that $\widehat{U}''_{\mathcal{P}}$ is the connected component of $M_b(U)$ which contains U. Indeed, if $z \in U''_{\mathcal{P}}$ then δ_z is a bounded homomorphism when restricted to polynomials. Since polynomials are dense in $H_b(U)$ it follows that δ_z is in $M_b(U)$. Moreover we can easily modify the proof of [19, Lemma 1.4] to show that $U''_{\mathcal{P}}$ is balanced. On the other hand if $z \in E'' \setminus \widehat{U}''_{\mathcal{P}}$ then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a function $f_n \in H_b(U)$ such that $|AB(f_n)(z)| > \|f_n\|_{U_n}$ and thus $\delta_z \notin M_b(U)$.

Therefore, by [10, Proposition 2.3], (a) follows.

(b) Just adapt the proofs of Lemma 2.10 and Theorem 2.11. $\square$

Similarly to Corollary 2.13 we can prove the following.

Corollary 3.5. *Let E be a symmetrically regular Banach space. Let $U \subset E$ be an bounded open balanced set, then $\widehat{U}''_{\mathcal{P}}$ is a H_b-domain of holomorphy.*

4. Density of finite type polymials

In several complex variables, the holomorphic convexity of U, or U being a domain of holomorphy, is equivalent to $M_b(U) = \delta(U)$. In our infinite dimensional setting this is not the case unless E has very particular properties. We can imprecisely explain this in the following way: if E is not reflexive, there are always elements of the bidual in the spectrum, so the equality $M_b(U) = \delta(U)$ cannot hold. On the other hand, if there are polynomials on E that are not weakly continuous on bounded sets, there is much more than evaluations in the spectrum [1, 3], and so $M_b(U) = \delta(U)$ is impossible even if E is reflexive. We will formalize this below, refining some results of [19, 16].

In [19], Vieira proved that for reflexive spaces such that every polynomial is approximable (i.e., for Tsirelson-like spaces), if U is a balanced $H_b(U)$-convex subset, then $M_b(U) = \delta(U)$. We now show that a converse of this theorem is an easy consequence of previous results.

Theorem 4.1. *Let E be a Tsirelson-like space and U a balanced open subset of E. Then U is $H_b(U)$-convex if and only if $M_b(U) = \delta(U)$.*

Proof. The "only if" part is Theorem 2.1. in [19].

If $M_b(U) = \delta(U)$, then

$$\delta(U) \subset \delta(\overset{\vee}{U}) \subset \delta(\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}(E)}) \subset M_b(U) = \delta(U).$$

Therefore $\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}(E)} = U$ and thus U is $H_b(U)$-convex. □

As many of our results, Theorem 4.1 holds for any U such that polynomials are dense in $H_b(U)$.

With the same proof of [16, Theorem 1.2] we have the following.

Theorem 4.2. *Let U be any balanced $H_b(U)$-convex open subset of a Banach space E such that E' has the approximation property. Then E is a Tsirelson-like space if and only if $M_b(U) = \delta(U)$.*

Let us see that, in fact, the statement $M_b(U) = \delta(U)$ is equivalent to U being $H_b(U)$-convex subset of a Tsirelson-like space, thus obtaining an improvement of the previous theorem:

Theorem 4.3. *Let U be a balanced open subset of a Banach space E whose dual has the approximation property. Then $M_b(U) = \delta(U)$ if and only if E is a Tsirelson-like space and U is $H_b(U)$-convex.*

Proof. One implication follows from Theorem 4.1. For the converse, by the previous theorem, it suffices to prove that U is $H_b(U)$-convex. Since U is balanced this is equivalent to prove that U is $\mathcal{P}$-convex ([19, Proposition 1.5]). By Proposition 2.2 (3) we must show that $U = \widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}}$. Suppose that $w \in \widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}} \setminus U$. Since by Corollary 1.8 the morphism $U \hookrightarrow \widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is a strong H_b-extension it follows that δ_w belongs to $M_b(U)$. Therefore we cannot have the equality $M_b(U) = \delta(U)$. □

As the previous theorem states, the equality $M_b(U) = \delta(U)$ is hard to achieve for domains in a Banach space E. This is because in general $M_b(U)$ cannot be identified with a subset of E. But we know that $M_b(U)$ can be projected on E'' via π, so a natural question is the following: suppose that U is $H_b(U)$-convex and E reflexive. Is it true that $\pi(M_b(U)) = U$? And if we drop off the reflexivity assumption, can we obtain something like $\pi(M_b(U)) = \overset{\vee}{U}''$ instead?

Let us see that, if finite polynomials are not dense, there are $H_b(U)$-convex subsets U for which $\pi(M_b(U))$ is larger than $\overset{\vee}{U}''$. In particular, if E is reflexive with the approximation property but not Tsirelson-like, there are subsets $U \subsetneq E$ that are $H_b(U)$-convex but $\pi(M_b(U)) = E$.

Proposition 4.4. *Let E be such that E' has the approximation property. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) finite type polynomials are dense in $H_b(E)$,

(ii) $\overset{\vee}{U}'' = \pi(M_b(U))$ *for every open subset* U *of* E*,*

(iii) $\overset{\vee}{U}'' = \pi(M_b(U))$ *for every open* $H_b(U)$*-convex subset* U *of* E*.*

If the conditions do not hold, there exists a proper subset U *of* E *which is* $H_b(U)$*-convex but* $\pi(M_b(U)) \supset E$*.*

Proof. Suppose first that finite type polynomials are dense in $H_b(E)$. If $z \in \pi(M_b(U))$ then there is some $\varphi \in M_b(U)$ such that $\varphi(\gamma) = \gamma(z)$ for every $\gamma \in E'$. Since finite type polynomials are dense in $H_b(E)$ and φ is multiplicative, we have that $\varphi(f) = \overline{f}(z)$ for every $f \in H_b(E)$, where $\overline{f}$ denotes the Aron-Berner extension of f. Thus $z \in \overset{\vee}{U}''$. We have proved that (i) implies (ii).

Clearly, (ii) implies (iii).

$(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$: we will prove that if there is a n-homogeneous polynomial P which is not weakly continuous on bounded sets then we can find an open $H_b(U)$-convex set $U \subset E \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\overset{\vee}{U}'' \cap E = U$ but $E \subset \pi(M_b(U))$.

Take a weakly null bounded net $\{x_i\}_{i \in I} \subset S_E$ such that $P(x_i) > 1$ for every $i \in I$. Define the set

$$U = \{x \in E : \ Re(P(x)) > \frac{1}{2}\}.$$

For $y \in E \setminus U$, let $f_y(x) = \frac{1}{1-e^{P(y)-P(x)}}$. Then f_y is holomorphic in U. Moreover, let A be a U-bounded set. Fix $x \in A \subset U_R \subset U$, let $t = Re(P(x))$ and take $\alpha > 0$ such that $Re(P(\alpha x)) = \alpha^n Re(P(x)) = \frac{1}{2}$ (which simply means that $\alpha = \left(\frac{1}{2t}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} < 1$). Since αx does not belong to U, we have $\|x - \alpha x\| \geq \frac{1}{R}$ and substituting, we get $1 - \left(\frac{1}{2t}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \geq \frac{1}{R\|x\|} \geq \frac{1}{R^2}$. Therefore, $Re(P(x)) = t \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{R^2}{R^2-1}\right)^n$. Since $\left(\frac{R^2}{R^2-1}\right)^n > 1$, this implies that f_y is bounded on A, that is, $f_y \in H_b(U)$.

Thus, if we define for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $f_k(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{k} e^{m(P(y)-P(x))}$, then $\{f_k\}$ is a bounded sequence in $H_b(U)$ since it converges to f. Moreover, $f_k \in H_b(E)$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f_k(y) = k+1$, which means that $y \notin \overset{\vee}{U}$. Therefore $\overset{\vee}{U}'' \cap E = U$.

If $x \in E$, then we can find $\lambda > 0$ such that the set $\{x + \lambda x_i\}$ is U-bounded. Indeed, $P(x + \lambda x_i) = \sum_{x=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \lambda^k \overset{\vee}{P}(x^{n-k}, x_i^k)$, and then we can find $\lambda > 0$ such that $Re(\lambda^n P(x_i)) = \lambda^n P(x_i) \geq 1 + \left|\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} \lambda^k \overset{\vee}{P}(x^{n-k}, x_i^k)\right|$ for every $i \in I$. Then $Re(P(x + \lambda x_i)) \geq 1$ for every $i \in I$. Therefore, for $y \in E \setminus U$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} &\leq |Re(P(x+\lambda x_i)) - Re(P(y))| \leq |P(x+\lambda x_i) - P(y)| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\overset{\vee}{P}(x^{n-k}, y^k) - \overset{\vee}{P}(x^{n-k-1}, y^{k+1})| \leq \|x-y\| \|P\| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \|x\|^{n-k-1} \|y\|^k, \end{aligned}$$

and thus, $\|x - y\| \geq (2\|P\| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \|x\|^{n-k-1} \|y\|^k)^{-1}$, which implies that $\{x + \lambda x_i\}$ is U-bounded.

Then $\{x + \lambda x_i\} \subset U_R$ for some $R > 0$ and since $\{x_i\}$ is weakly null, this means that $x \in \overline{U_R}^{w^*}$ and, by [5, Proposition 18], $x \in \pi(M_b(U))$. Therefore $E \subset \pi(M_b(U))$.

It remains to prove that U is $H_b(U)$-convex. For, if A is U-bounded, we can find as before $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $A \subset \{x \in E : \; Re(P(x)) > \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon\}$, and if $y \notin U$, then $Re(P(y)) \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Therefore if we set $f(x) = e^{-P(x)}$, $f \in H_b(U)$ and $|f(y)| \geq e^{-\frac{1}{2}} > e^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \geq \|f\|_A$, which means that $y \notin \widehat{A}_{\mathcal{P}}$. Thus $\widehat{A}_{\mathcal{P}} \subset U$ and U is $H_b(U)$-convex. $\square$

If finite type polynomials are dense in $H_b(U)$, then π is clearly injective. Therefore, we have the following description of the spectrum of $H_b(U)$:

Corollary 4.5. *a) If finite type polynomials are dense in $H_b(E)$ and U is balanced, then $M_b(U) = \delta(\overset{\vee}{U''})$.*

b) If U is a balanced open subset of a Tsirelson-like space, then $M_b(U) = \delta(\overset{\vee}{U})$.

Again, reciprocal statements in the spirit of Theorem 4.3 are also valid.

We end this section with a Banach-Stone type result. In [19, Theorem 3.1] the following was proved: if E and F are reflexive Banach spaces, one of them Tsirelson-like, and $U \subset E$, $V \subset F$ are open balanced and $\mathcal{P}$-convex, then the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) There exists a bijective mapping $g : V \to U$ such that $g \in H_b(V, U)$ (g is holomorphic and the image under g of V-bounded sets is U-bounded) and $g^{-1} \in H_b(U, V)$.
(2) The algebras $H_b(U)$ and $H_b(V)$ are topologically isomorphic.

In that case it follows that E and F are isomorphic Banach spaces.

In [5, Corollary 22] a similar result was proved for convex balanced open sets when every polynomial on E'' (or F'') is approximable. In that case it follows that E' and F' are isomorphic.

We will slightly improve those results with the following (see below for precise definitions):

Theorem 4.6. *Let E, F be Banach spaces, $V \subset F$, $U \subset E$ open balanced subsets and suppose that every polynomial on E'' is approximable. Let $\phi : H_b(U) \to H_b(V)$ be a mapping, then the following are equivalent*

If $\phi : H_b(U) \to H_b(V)$ is a Fréchet algebra isomorphism then there exists a biholomorphic function $g : \widehat{V}''_{\mathcal{P}} \to \widehat{U}''_{\mathcal{P}}$, with $g \in \mathcal{H}^\infty\big((\widehat{V_n})''_{\mathcal{P}}, (\widehat{U_n})''_{\mathcal{P}}\big)$ and $g^{-1} \in \mathcal{H}^\infty\big((\widehat{U_n})''_{\mathcal{P}}, (\widehat{V_n})''_{\mathcal{P}}\big)$, both locally w^*-w^* continuous such that $\widetilde{\phi f} = \tilde{f} \circ g$ for every $f \in H_b(U)$.

Conversely, if g is such a function then the operator $\phi : H_b(U) \to H_b(V)$ given by $\phi f = \tilde{f} \circ g|_V$ is a Fréchet algebra isomorphism.

In that case E' is isomorphic to F'.

To prove this Theorem we will need some preliminary results.

Let $V \subset F$ be a balanced subset. Then by Proposition 3.2, there exists an open set $W \subset F''$ such that every function in $f \in H_b(V)$ extend to a function $\tilde{f} \in H_b(W)$. Throughout the rest of this section W will denote this subset.

Lemma 4.7. *Let E, F be Banach spaces, $V \subset F$ an open balanced subset and $U \subset E$ open. Suppose that $\phi : H_b(U) \to H_b(V)$ is a continuous and multiplicative operator. Then*

a) the mapping $g : W \to E''$, defined by $g(y'') = \pi(\delta_{y''} \circ \phi)$ is holomorphic.

b) if F is symmetrically regular then the mapping $g : \widehat{V}''_{\mathcal{P}} \to E''$, defined by $g(y'') = \pi(\delta_{y''} \circ \phi)$ is holomorphic.

Proof. a) Denote by $\theta_\phi : M_b(V) \to M_b(U)$ the restriction of the transpose of ϕ. Then g is just the composition $W \xrightarrow{\delta} M_b(V) \xrightarrow{\theta_\phi} M_b(U) \xrightarrow{\pi} E''$ which is well defined by Proposition 3.2. If we take $y'' \in W$ and $x' \in E'$, then $g(y'')(x') = \delta_{y''}(\phi x') = \widetilde{\phi x'}(y'')$. Thus g is weak*-holomorphic on W and therefore holomorphic (see for example [14, Exercise 8D]).

b) By Proposition 3.4 we can define g on $\widehat{V}''_{\mathcal{P}}$. The proof of *a)* works fine. □

For an open set $U \subset E$, consider a family of subsets $A_k \subset U$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\bigcup_k A_k = U$. We define:

$$\mathcal{H}^\infty\big((A_k)_k\big) = \{f \in H(U) : \ \|f\|_{A_k} < \infty \text{ for every } k\},$$

which is a Fréchet algebra with the topology of uniform convergence on the A_k's. If $(A_k)_k$ form a fundamental system of U-bounded sets, then we have $\mathcal{H}^\infty\big((A_k)_k\big) = H_b(U)$. Note that, if U is balanced, by Propositions 3.2 and 3.4 every function $f \in H_b(U)$ can be extended to a function $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{H}^\infty\big((A_k)_k\big)$, where $A_k = (\widehat{U_k})''_{\mathcal{P}} \cap W$ or $A_k = (\widehat{U_k})''_{\mathcal{P}}$ in case E is symmetrically regular.

Also, if $V \subset F$ and we have a family of subsets $B_j \subset V$ such that $\bigcup_j B_j = V$, we define the Fréchet algebra

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}^\infty\big((A_k)_k, (B_j)_j\big) \ = \ \{f \in H(U, V) : \ &\text{there exists a subsequence } (n_k)_k \text{ such} \\ &\text{that } f(A_k) \subset B_{n_k} \text{ for every } k\}.\end{aligned}$$

If $(A_k)_k$ and $(B_j)_j$ form a fundamental system of U-bounded sets and V-bounded sets respectively, then $\mathcal{H}^\infty\big((A_k)_k, (B_j)_j\big)$ is simply $H_b(U, V)$.

Proposition 4.8. *Let E, F be Banach spaces, $V \subset F$, $U \subset E$ open balanced subsets and suppose that every polynomial on E is approximable. Let $\phi : H_b(U) \to H_b(V)$ is a continuous operator. Then*

a) ϕ is multiplicative if and only if there exists a holomorphic function $g : W \to \widehat{U}''_{\mathcal{P}}$, $g \in \mathcal{H}^\infty\big((B_j)_j, (A_k)_k\big)$, where $A_k = (\widehat{U_k})''_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $B_k = (\widehat{V_k})''_{\mathcal{P}} \cap W$, such that $\widetilde{\phi f} = \tilde{f} \circ g$ for every $f \in H_b(U)$.

b) if F is symmetrically regular, ϕ is multiplicative if and only if there exists a holomorphic function $g : \widehat{V}''_{\mathcal{P}} \to \widehat{U}''_{\mathcal{P}}$, $g \in \mathcal{H}^\infty\big((B_j)_j, (A_k)_k\big)$, where $A_k = (\widehat{U_k})''_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $B_k = (\widehat{V_k})''_{\mathcal{P}}$ such that $\widetilde{\phi f} = \tilde{f} \circ g$ for every $f \in H_b(U)$.

Proof. a) The necessity is immediate.

For the converse, let g be the mapping defined by Lemma 4.7 *a)*. By Corollary 4.5, the spectrum $M_b(U)$ can be identified with $\widehat{U}''_{\mathcal{P}}$, thus g maps W inside $\widehat{U}''_{\mathcal{P}}$ and, for $f \in H_b(U)$ and $y'' \in W$, we have $\tilde{f}(g(y'')) = \widetilde{\phi f}(y'')$ by the definition of g.

It remains to prove that $g \in \mathcal{H}^\infty\big((B_j)_j, (A_k)_k\big)$. Suppose that for some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, $g(B_{n_0})$ is not contained in any of the A_k's. Thus there exist a sequence $(x''_k)_k = (g(y''_k))_k \subset g((\widehat{V}_{n_0})''_{\mathcal{P}} \cap W)$ such that $x''_k \notin (\widehat{U_k})''_{\mathcal{P}}$. This means there exist polynomials $P_k \in \mathcal{P}(E)$ such that $\|P_k\|_{U_k} < \frac{1}{2^k}$ and $\tilde{P}_k(x''_k) > k + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} |\tilde{P}_j(x''_k)|$. Then $\sum P_k$ converges in $H_b(U)$ to a function f. Thus $\phi f \in H_b(V)$, so $\widetilde{\phi f}$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}^\infty\big((B_k)_k\big)$ and $\|\widetilde{\phi f}\|_{(\widehat{V}_{n_0})''_{\mathcal{P}}\cap W} < \infty$. This is a contradiction since $\|\widetilde{\phi f}\|_{(\widehat{V}_{n_0})''_{\mathcal{P}}\cap W} \geq |\widetilde{\phi f}(y''_k)| = |\tilde{f}(g(y''_k))| = |\tilde{f}(x''_k)| > k-1$ for every k.

b) The same proof as in *a*) but using Lemma 4.7 *b*) works. ☐

We will say that a function is *locally w^*-continuous* at a point x' of a dual Banach space if there exists a (norm) neighborhood such that the function restricted to this neighborhood is w^*-continuous. A function is locally w^*-continuous on an open set if it is locally w^*-continuous at each point of the set.

Proof. (of Theorem 4.6) Suppose that ϕ is an isomorphism. Let $g \in \mathcal{H}^\infty\big((B_j)_j, (A_k)_k\big)$ be the application given by Proposition 4.8 *a*), and let $h : \widehat{U}''_{\mathcal{P}} \to F''$ be the holomorphic map obtained in Lemma 4.7 *b*) using the homomorphism ϕ^{-1} (note that our hypothesis imply that E is symmetrically regular). Then $h \circ g$ is the composition

$$W \xrightarrow{\delta} M_b(V) \xrightarrow{\theta_\phi} M_b(U) \xrightarrow{\pi} \widehat{U}''_{\mathcal{P}} \xrightarrow{\delta} M_b(U) \xrightarrow{\theta_{\phi^{-1}}} M_b(V) \xrightarrow{\pi} F''.$$

Since, by Corollary 4.5, $M_b(U) = \delta(\widehat{U}''_{\mathcal{P}})$, it follows that $h \circ g = id_W$. Thus $dh(g(0)) \circ dg(0) = id_{F''}$ and therefore F'' is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of E'' which implies that every polynomial on F'' is approximable and, in particular, that F is symmetrically regular. Thus we can use Proposition 4.8 *b*) and define g in $\widehat{V}''_{\mathcal{P}}$ and we have $h \circ g = id_{\widehat{V}''_{\mathcal{P}}}$. By Corollary 4.5 we have $M_b(V) = \delta(\widehat{V}''_{\mathcal{P}})$, thus $g \circ h = id_{\widehat{U}''_{\mathcal{P}}}$ which means that $h = g^{-1}$. By Proposition 4.8 *b*), g^{-1} belongs to $\mathcal{H}^\infty\big((\widehat{U_n})''_{\mathcal{P}}, (\widehat{V_n})''_{\mathcal{P}}\big)$.

Moreover, for every $x \in E$, $x \circ g = \widetilde{\phi x}$ is locally w^*-continuous since it is locally an Aron-Berner extension. Therefore g is locally w^*-w^* continuous on V. Similarly g^{-1} is locally $w^* - w^*$ continuous.

Conversely, suppose that g is as above. Define $\phi f = \tilde{f} \circ g|_V$ for $f \in H_b(U)$ and $\psi h = \tilde{h} \circ g^{-1}|_U$ for $h \in H_b(V)$. Then clearly $\phi : H_b(U) \to H_b(V)$ and $\psi : H_b(V) \to H_b(U)$ are continuous and multiplicative. We want to prove that $\psi = \phi^{-1}$. Let $f \in H_b(U)$, then

$$\psi \circ \phi f = \psi(\tilde{f} \circ g|_V) = \widetilde{\tilde{f} \circ g|_V} \circ g^{-1}|_U. \tag{5}$$

Note that $\tilde{f} \circ g$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}^\infty\big((B_j)_j\big)$ and is locally w^*-continuous (since every polynomial on E is approximable, the Aron-Berner extension of f is w^*-continuous). Thus for each $z \in \widehat{V}''_{\mathcal{P}}$, applying [2, Lemma 2.1] to $\tilde{f} \circ g$ restricted to a suitable ball implies that $\frac{d^k(\tilde{f}\circ g)(z)}{k!}$ is w^*-continuous, and therefore by [20, Theorem 2] we can conclude that $\frac{d^k(\tilde{f}\circ g)(z)}{k!}$ is in the image of the Aron-Berner extension and thus $\widetilde{\tilde{f} \circ g|_V} = \tilde{f} \circ g$. Then we obtain from (5) that $\psi \circ \phi f = f$. Similarly, $\phi \circ \psi h = h$ for $h \in H_b(V)$.

It remains to prove that E' and F' are isomorphic.

Differentiating $g \circ g^{-1}$ at 0 we obtain that E'' and F'' are isomorphic. Applying [2, Lemma 2.1] to $y'' \mapsto g(y'')(x')$ restricted to a suitable ball, we obtain that the differential of g at any point is w^*-w^*-continuous (and analogously for g^{-1}). Therefore, the isomorphism between E'' and F'' is the transpose of an isomorphism between F' and E'. □

If F is complemented in its bidual (for example, if F is a dual space) the previous theorem holds if every polynomial on E (and not necessarily on E'') is approximable. Indeed we obtain as in the proof of the theorem that F'' is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of E'', and there we can easily prove that F is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of E. We can then conclude the theorem with the same proof.

We also have:

Corollary 4.9. *Let E, F be Banach spaces, one of them Tsirelson-like; $V \subset F$, $U \subset E$ open balanced and bounded subsets. Then $\phi : H_b(U) \to H_b(V)$ is a Fréchet algebra isomorphism if and only if there exists a biholomorphic function $g \in H_b(\widehat{V}_{\mathcal{P}}, \widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}})$ such that $g^{-1} \in H_b(\widehat{U}_{\mathcal{P}}, \widehat{V}_{\mathcal{P}})$ the operator $\widetilde{\phi f} = \tilde{f} \circ g$ for every $f \in H_b(U)$.*

In that case E and F are isomorphic Banach spaces.

The Tsirelson-James space T_J^* is not reflexive (it is not a Tsirelson-like space) but satisfies the conditions of Theorem 4.6 by [7, Lemma 19].

5. On the Spectrum of $H_b(U)$

A consequence of Example 2.8 is that the canonical extension of a function in $H_b(U)$ is not necessarily of bounded type on the spectrum. The following proposition gives an equivalent condition for these extensions to be of bounded type (this should be compared to [10, Proposition 2.5]):

Proposition 5.1. *Let U be an open set of a simmetrically regular Banach space E. Then every function $f \in H_b(U)$ extends to a function $\tilde{f}$ of bounded type on $M_b(U)$ if and only if given any $M_b(U)$-bounded set B there exists a U-bounded set D such that $\varphi \prec D$ for all $\varphi \in B$.*

Proof. We have a well defined extension morphism

$$\begin{array}{rccc} e: & H_b(U) & \to & H_b(M_b(U)) \\ & f & \mapsto & \tilde{f}. \end{array}$$

Suppose that $f_n \to 0$ in $H_b(U)$ and that $\tilde{f}_n \to g$ in $H_b(M_b(U))$. Let $\varphi \in M_b(U)$, then we have that $g(\varphi) = \lim \tilde{f}_n(\varphi) = \lim \varphi(f_n) = 0$. By the Closed Graph Theorem e is continuous. This means that given a $M_b(U)$-bounded set B there exists a U-bounded set D and a constant $c > 0$ such that $\|\tilde{f}\|_B \leq c\|f\|_D$ for every function $f \in H_b(U)$. In particular, if $\varphi \in B$ then $|\varphi(f)| \leq c\|f\|_D$ for every $f \in H_b(U)$. Since φ is multiplicative, we conclude that $\varphi \prec D$.

For the converse, let B be a $M_b(U)$-bounded set and D such that if $\varphi \in B$ then $\varphi \prec D$. Then $|\tilde{f}(\varphi)| = |\varphi(f)| \leq \|f\|_D$ and therefore $\|\tilde{f}\|_B \leq \|f\|_D < \infty$. □

In [3] the following inequality was implicitly shown:

$$\sup\left\{dist(A,U^c) : \varphi \prec A\right\} \leq dist_{M_b(U)}(\varphi). \tag{6}$$

If for some U we have equality or at least a reverse inequality with some constant, then extensions to $M_b(U)$ would be of bounded type, as a consequence of the previous proposition. We do not know of many examples in which extensions to $M_b(U)$ are of bounded type. One such example is if U is balanced and bounded and on a Tsirelson-like space then by Corollary 2.12 the extensions to $M_b(U)$ are of bounded type. When $U = E$ and finite type polynomials are dense in $\mathcal{P}(E)$, we have that $M_b(E) = E''$. Thus the extension to the spectrum is the Aron-Berner extension, which is of bounded type. Moreover, if E is any symmetrically regular Banach spaces, it was shown in [9, Proposition 6.30] that the extension to the spectrum is of bounded type on each sheet of the spectrum. We now show that this does not imply that the extension to the spectrum is of bounded type on the whole Riemann domain.

Proposition 5.2. *Let E be a symmetrically regular Banach space such that there exists a continuous polynomial on E which is not weakly continuous on bounded sets. Then there exists a homogeneous polynomial whose extension to the spectrum $M_b(E)$ is not of bounded type.*

Proof. We may suppose that P is n-homogeneous whose restriction to a ball is not weakly continuous at 0. Let $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\Delta}$ be a weakly null bounded net and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $|P(x_\alpha)| > \varepsilon$ for every $\alpha \in \Delta$. Take a filter base on Δ, $\mathcal{B} = \{\alpha \in \Gamma : \alpha \geq \alpha_0\}_{\alpha 0\in\Delta}$ and let Γ be an ultrafilter such that $\mathcal{B} \subset \Gamma$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, define $\varphi_k(f) = \lim_\Gamma f(kx_\alpha)$, for $f \in H_b(E)$. Then φ_k is in $M_b(E)$. Moreover $\varphi_k(x') = 0$ for every $x' \in E'$ and thus $\pi(\varphi_k) = 0$ for every k. This implies that the set $C = \{\varphi_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is $M_b(E)$-bounded. But, $|\tilde{P}(\varphi_k)| = |\varphi_k(P)| = |\lim_\Gamma P(kx_\alpha)| \geq k^n\varepsilon$ and therefore $\|\tilde{P}\|_C = \infty$, that is, $\tilde{P}$ is not of bounded type on $M_b(E)$. □

For the general case, recall that the open set in Example 2.8 was neither bounded nor convex, so one might ask if for the unit ball of a symmetrically regular Banach space things are easier. We do not know if in this case extensions to the spectrum are of bounded type, but we can answer for the negative the question on the reverse inequality in (6): fixed $1 < p < \infty$, there cannot be a constant $c > 0$ such that $\sup\left\{dist(A, B^c_{\ell_p}) : \varphi \prec A\right\} \geq c\, dist_{M_b(B_{\ell_p})}(\varphi)$ for every $\varphi \in M_b(B_{\ell_p})$.

For the following proposition, recall [3] that, for $\varphi \in M_b(B_{\ell_p})$, with $1 < p \leq \infty$ (for $p = 1$ we lose the symmetric regularity) and if $0 < r < 1$ is such that $\varphi \prec rB_{\ell_p}$ then for each $z \in \ell_p$ with $\|z\| < \frac{1}{1-r}$, we can define

$$\varphi^z(f) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varphi\left(y \mapsto \frac{d^n f(y)}{n!}(z)\right).$$

It is shown in [3, Section 2] that $\varphi^z(f)$ belongs to $M_b(B_{\ell_p})$. Moreover, the different mappings of the form $z \mapsto \varphi^z$ give the local section of π that defines the analytic structure of $M_b(B_{\ell_p})$.

In fact, inequality (6) is a consequence of this: since $\varphi^z(f)$ is defined whenever $\|z\| < \frac{1}{1-r}$, we have $dist_{M_b(B_{\ell_p})}(\varphi) \geq \frac{1}{1-r}$, which is precisely the distance from rB_{ℓ_p} to $\ell_p \setminus B_{\ell_p}$.

In the sequel, for $\varphi \in M_b(U)$ we define $S(\varphi)$, the sheet of φ, as the connected component of $M_b(U)$ that contains φ.

Proposition 5.3. *If $1 < p < \infty$, then*

$$\inf_{\varphi \in M_b(B_{\ell_p})} \frac{\sup\Big\{dist(A, B_{\ell_p}^c) : \varphi \prec A\Big\}}{dist_{M_b(B_{\ell_p})}(\varphi)} = 0.$$

In other words, there is no reverse inequality in (6).

Proof. Set $U = B_{\ell_p}$. Let Γ be an ultrafilter on $\mathbb{N}$ containing all the sets of the form $\{n, n+1, n+2, \dots\}$ and define $\varphi_t \in M_b(U)$ by $\varphi_t(f) = \lim_\Gamma f(\frac{e_n}{t})$, with $t > 1$.

Take $z \in \ell_p$ with $\|z\| < \big(1 - (\frac{1}{t})^p\big)^{\frac{1}{p}}$. Then there is some $r > 1$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the set $A = \{sz + \frac{e_n}{t} : n \in \mathbb{N},\, n \geq n_0,\, |s| = r\}$ is U-bounded. By the Cauchy inequality,

$$\left|\frac{d^k f}{k!}(\frac{e_n}{t})(z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{r^k} \sup_{|s|=r} |f(\frac{e_n}{t} + sz)| \leq \frac{1}{r^k}\|f\|_A.$$

Therefore,

$$|\varphi_t^z(f)| = \left|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varphi(\frac{d^k f(\cdot)}{k!}(z))\right| \leq \frac{1}{1-r}\|f\|_A$$

We have shown that $\varphi_t^z \in M_b(U)$. Hence $\pi(S(\varphi_t)) \supset \big(1 - (\frac{1}{t})^p\big)^{\frac{1}{p}} B_{\ell_p}$ and $dist_{M_b(U)}(\varphi_t) \geq \big(1 - (\frac{1}{t})^p\big)^{\frac{1}{p}}$.

If $r < \frac{1}{t}$ and $A \subset rB_{\ell_p}$, take a natural number $m > p$ and define $g_N(x) = \Big(t^m \sum_k x_k^m\Big)^N$. Then $\varphi_t(g_N) = 1$ for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$, but $\|g_N\|_A \leq \|g_N\|_{rB_{\ell_p}} \leq (tr)^N \to 0$ as $N \to \infty$. Thus $\varphi_t \not\prec A$. Finally, since clearly $\varphi_t \prec \frac{1}{t}B_{\ell_p}$ we have that $\sup\{dist(A, U^c) : \varphi_t \prec A\} = 1 - \frac{1}{t}$.

Therefore $\lim\limits_{t \to 1^+} \dfrac{\sup\Big\{dist(A, B_{\ell_p}^c) : \varphi_t \prec A\Big\}}{dist_{M_b(B_{\ell_p})}(\varphi_t)} = 0$ and the result follows. ☐

In the proof of the previous proposition we have shown that $\pi(S(\varphi_t)) \supset \big(1 - (\frac{1}{t})^p\big)^{\frac{1}{p}} B_{\ell_p}$. If $p \in \mathbb{N}$, Proposition 5.4 below show that we have moreover an equality: $\pi(S(\varphi_t)) = \big(1 - (\frac{1}{t})^p\big)^{\frac{1}{p}} B_{\ell_p}$.

This means that for any $\varphi \in M_b(B_{\ell_p})$ defined as in the Proposition, the sheet of φ is an analytic copy (via π) of a ball centered at 0. It can be seen that for a convex and balanced open subset U of a symmetrically regular Banach space E, $\pi(M_b(U))$ coincides with $int(\overline{U}^{w^*})$ (see, for example, [5, Lemma 20]). The previous example shows that for U the unit ball of ℓ_p, some sheets are projected into proper subsets of $int(\overline{U}^{w^*}) = U$. Therefore, $M_b(B_{\ell_p})$ cannot be seen as a union of disjoint copies of B_{ℓ_p}, as one might have thought from the case $U = E$, where $M_b(E)$ is a disjoint union of analytic copies of E''.

We now show that if we restrict ourselves to a distinguished part of the spectrum of B_{ℓ_p}, with p a natural number greater than 1, then the extension of the functions in $H_b(B_{\ell_p})$ is of bounded type.

Take any block basis $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in the unit ball of ℓ_p with $\|x_n\| \to r \in (0,1)$ and consider, as usual, an ultrafilter Γ on $\mathbb{N}$ containing all the sets of the form $\{n, n+1, n+2, \dots\}$. Let $\varphi \in M_b(B_{\ell_p})$ given by,

$$\varphi(f) = \lim_{\Gamma} f(x_n), \tag{7}$$

for $f \in H_b(B_{\ell_p})$. Note that, since block bases are weakly null, we have $\varphi \in \pi^{-1}(0)$.

Let us define the following subdomain of $M_b(B_{\ell_p})$:

$$M_b^0(B_{\ell_p}) = \bigcup_{\varphi \text{ given by } (7)} S(\varphi).$$

Note that all adherent points of the sequence $(\delta_{te_n})_n$ $(0 < t < 1)$ belong to $M_b^0(B_{\ell_p})$, so the number of connected components of $M_b^0(B_{\ell_p})$ has at least the cardinality of $\beta\mathbb{N}$. Moreover, it is not clear that there are morphisms in $M_b(B_{\ell_p})$ that are not in $M_b^0(B_{\ell_p})$ (though to assert such a thing one should be able to prove of a really strong Corona theorem for $H_b(B_{\ell_p})$). One might argue that morphisms in $M_b(B_{\ell_p})$ can be built with sequences that are not blocks or with nets, but it is not clear that those cannot have an alternative representation as in (7). Anyway, $M_b^0(B_{\ell_p})$ is a relatively large part of $M_b(B_{\ell_p})$, where "relatively" should be understood as "up to our knowledge".

Note also that if we consider bounded type entire functions on ℓ_p, then a slight modification of Proposition 5.2 may be used to prove that there exists a homogeneous polynomial whose extension to the distinguished spectrum of $H_b(\ell_p)$ is not of bounded type.

Let us first describe the sheet of a homomorphism in $M_b^0(B_{\ell_p})$.

Proposition 5.4. *Let p be a natural number greater than 1 and let $\varphi \in M_b(B_{\ell_p})$be given as in (7). Then $\pi(S(\varphi)) = (1-r^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} B_{\ell_p}$.*

Proof. Take $z \in \ell_p$ with $\|z\| < \big(1-r^p\big)^{\frac{1}{p}}$. Since $(x_n)_n$ is a block sequence with respect to $(e_k)_k$, then, for some n_0, $A = \{z + x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_0\}$ is U-bounded. Since $\varphi^z(f) = \lim_\Gamma f(x_n + z)$, we have $\varphi^z \prec A$ and thus $\varphi^z \in M_b(B_{\ell_p})$. Hence $\pi(S(\varphi)) \supset \big(1-(r)^p\big)^{\frac{1}{p}} B_{\ell_p}$.

For the reverse inclusion let $\|z\| > \big(1-r^p\big)^{\frac{1}{p}}$. Let $(\alpha_k) \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $(j_n) \subset \mathbb{N}$ an increasing sequence such that $x_n = \sum_{k=j_n+1}^{j_{n+1}} \alpha_k e_k$.

Then for some $\delta > 0$, $\|z\|^p + r^p > 1+\delta$ and since $\|x_n\| \to r$, there exists $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $n > M$, $\|z\| + \|x_n\| > 1 + \delta$ and moreover such that

$$\Big|\sum_{k=1}^{M} |z_k|^p + \sum_{k=j_n+1}^{j_{n+1}} \big|\alpha_k + z_k\big|^p + \sum_{\substack{k>M \\ k\notin\{j_n+1,\dots,j_{n+1}\}}} z_k^p\Big| > 1+\delta.$$

Let us define $f_N(x) = \Big(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \theta_k x_k^p\Big)^N$, where $|\theta_k| = 1$ and $\theta_k z_k^p = |z_k|^p$ if $1 \leq k \leq M$, $\theta_k(z_k+\alpha_k)^p = |z_k+\alpha_k|^p$ for every $j_n + 1 \leq k \leq j_{n+1}$ and $n > M$, and $\theta_k = 1$ otherwise.

Then since $\|f_N\|_U \leq 1$ for every N, $\{f_N : N \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a bounded sequence in $H_b(B_{\ell_p})$ and for every $n > M$,

$$|f_N(z + x_n)| = \Big|\sum_{k=1}^{M} |z_k|^p + \sum_{k=j_n+1}^{j_{n+1}} \big|\alpha_k + z_k\big|^p + \sum_{\substack{k>M \\ k\notin\{j_n+1,\dots,j_{n+1}\}}} z_k^p\Big|^N > (1+\delta)^N.$$

Since f_N is a polynomial, $\varphi^z(f_N) = \lim_\Gamma f_N(z + x_n)$. Therefore $|\varphi^z(f_N)| = \lim_\Gamma |f_N(z + x_n)| \geq (1+\delta)^N$, which implies that $\varphi^z \notin M_b(B_{\ell_p})$. Thus $(1 - r^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} B_{\ell_p} \subset \pi(S(\varphi)) \subset (1 - r^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \overline{B_{\ell_p}}$, but since it must be open, we conclude that $\pi(S(\varphi)) = (1 - r^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} B_{\ell_p}$. $\square$

Our distinguished spectrum $M_b^0(B_{\ell_p})$ is an open subset of $M_b(B_{\ell_p})$, since it is the union of some connected components of $M_b(B_{\ell_p})$. Thus $M_b^0(B_{\ell_p})$ is a Riemann domain over ℓ_p and every function $f \in H_b(B_{\ell_p})$ extends to a holomorphic function $\tilde{f}$ on $M_b^0(B_{\ell_p})$. We now show that this extension is of bounded type.

Proposition 5.5. *If p be a natural number greater than 1, for any $f \in H_b(B_{\ell_p})$, its extension $\tilde{f}$ to $M_b^0(B_{\ell_p})$ is of bounded type.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and take the $M_b^0(B_{\ell_p})$-bounded set $A = \{\phi \in M_b^0(B_{\ell_p}) : dist_{M_b^0(B_{\ell_p})} \geq \varepsilon\}$. By Proposition 5.4, A only intersects the sheets such that $\pi(S(\varphi)) = (1 - r^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} B_{\ell_p}$ with $(1 - r^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \geq \varepsilon$. Let $\varphi \in A$ such that $\pi(\varphi) = 0$, then $A \cap S(\varphi) = \{\varphi^z : \|z\| \leq (1 - r^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} - \varepsilon\}$. Thus there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\|z\|^p + r^p < 1 - \delta$ for every $\varphi^z \in A$.

Let $\varphi \in A$, $\pi(\varphi) = 0$, and let $(x_n)_n$ and Γ be a block sequence and an ultrafilter defining φ (that is, $\varphi(f) = \lim_\Gamma f(x_n)$). Since $\|x_n\| \to r$, $\|z\|^p + \|x_n\|^p < 1 - \delta$ if n is big enough. Moreover, there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|z + x_n\|^p < 1 - \delta$ for every $n \geq n_0$ since $(x_n)_n$ is a block sequence. Then $\{x_n + z : n \geq n_0\}$ is contained in the U-bounded set $(1 - \delta)^{\frac{1}{p}} B_{\ell_p}$ and $\varphi^z \prec (1 - \delta)^{\frac{1}{p}} B_{\ell_p}$.

Therefore $\|\tilde{f}\|_A = \sup_{\phi \in A} |\phi(f)| \leq \|f\|_{(1-\delta)^{\frac{1}{p}} B_{\ell_p}} < \infty$. $\square$

Note that Proposition 5.3 can be restated with the infimum taken for $\varphi \in M_b^0(B_{\ell_p})$, since the homomorphisms that were used in the proof were defined by constant multiples of the canonical basis. As a consequence, there is no reverse inequality in (6) even if we restrict ourselves to $M_b^0(B_{\ell_p})$. Therefore, the absence of such a reverse inequality should not be thought as an impediment for the extensions to the whole spectrum to be of bounded type. This means that, if there are extensions to $M_b(B_{\ell_p})$ that fail to be of bounded type, the proof of this fact will probably not be based on the absence of this reverse inequality.

Since by Proposition 5.4 the connected components of $M_b^0(B_{\ell_p})$ are balls, we have the following corollary (which could also be deduced from the previous proposition):

Corollary 5.6. *If p be a natural number greater than 1, then $M_b^0(B_{\ell_p})$ is an H_b-domain of holomorphy.*

References

[1] R. M. Aron, B. J. Cole, and T. W. Gamelin. Spectra of algebras of analytic functions on a Banach space. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 415:51–93, 1991.

[2] R. M. Aron, B. J. Cole, and T. W. Gamelin. Weak-star continuous analytic functions. *Canad. J. Math.*, 47(4):673–683, 1995.

[3] R. M. Aron, P. Galindo, D. García, and M. Maestre. Regularity and algebras of analytic functions in infinite dimensions. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, 348(2):543–559, 1996.

[4] Richard M. Aron and Paul D. Berner. A Hahn-Banach extension theorem for analytic mappings. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 106(1):3–24, 1978.

[5] Daniel Carando, Domingo García, and Manuel Maestre. Homomorphisms and composition operators on algebras of analytic functions of bounded type. *Adv. Math.*, 197(2):607–629, 2005.

[6] G. Coeuré. *Analytic functions and manifolds in infinite dimensional spaces.* North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1974. North-Holland Mathematics Studies, Vol. 11.

[7] Verónica Dimant, Pablo Galindo, Manuel Maestre, and Ignacio Zalduendo. Integral holomorphic functions. *Studia Math.*, 160(1):83–99, 2004.

[8] Seán Dineen. The Cartan-Thullen theorem for Banach spaces. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa, Sci. Fis. Mat.*, III. Ser. 24:667–676, 1971.

[9] Seán Dineen. *Complex analysis on infinite dimensional spaces.* Springer Monographs in Mathematics. London: Springer. xv, 543 p. DM 179.00 , 1999.

[10] Seán Dineen and Milena Venkova. Extending bounded type holomorphic mappings on a Banach space. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 297(2):645–658, 2004.

[11] Pablo Galindo, Domingo García, and Manuel Maestre. Entire functions of bounded type on Fréchet spaces. *Math. Nachr.*, 161:185–198, 1993.

[12] André Hirschowitz. Prolongement analytique en dimension infinie. (Analytic extension in infinite dimension). *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, 22(2):255–292, 1972.

[13] Mário C. Matos. The envelope of holomorphy of Riemann domains over a countable product of complex planes. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 167:379–387, 1972.

[14] Jorge Mujica. *Complex analysis in Banach spaces. Holomorphic functions and domains of holomorphy in finite and infinite dimensions.* North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 120. Notas de Matemática, 107. Amsterdam/New York/Oxford: North-Holland. XI, 434 p. $ 55.25; Dfl. 160.00 , 1986.

[15] Jorge Mujica. Spectra of algebras of holomorphic functions on infinite-dimensional Riemann domains. *Math. Ann.*, 276(2):317–322, 1987.

[16] Jorge Mujica. Ideals of holomorphic functions on Tsirelson's space. *Arch. Math.*, 76(4):292–298, 2001.

[17] Martin Schottenloher. Spectrum and envelope of holomorphy for infinite-dimensional Riemann domains. *Math. Ann.*, 263(2):213–219, 1983.

[18] J. Siciak. Balanced domains of holomorphy of type H^∞. *Mat. Vesnik*, 37(1):134–144, 1985. International symposium on complex analysis and applications (Arandjelovac, 1984).

[19] Daniela M. Vieira. Spectra of algebras of holomorphic functions of bounded type. *Indag. Math., New Ser.*, 18(2):269–279, 2007.

[20] Ignacio Zalduendo. A canonical extension for analytic functions on Banach spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 320(2):747–763, 1990.

Departamento de Matemática - Pab I, Facultad de Cs. Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires, (1428) Buenos Aires, Argentina, and CONICET

E-mail address: `dcarando@dm.uba.ar`

E-mail address: `smuro@dm.uba.ar`